Spin-isotropic continuum of spin excitations in antiferromagnetically ordered $Fe_{1.07}$ Te

Yu Song,^{1,*} Xingye Lu,² L.-P. Regnault,³ Yixi Su,⁴ Hsin-Hua Lai,¹ Wen-Jun Hu,¹ Qimiao Si,¹ and Pengcheng Dai^{1,2,†}

¹Department of Physics and Astronomy and Rice Center for Quantum Materials, Rice University, Houston, Texas 77005, USA

²Center for Advanced Quantum Studies and Department of Physics, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China

³Institut Laue Langevin, 71 Avenue des Martyrs, 38042 Grenoble, France

⁴Jülich Centre for Neutron Science, Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, Outstation at MLZ, D-85747 Garching, Germany

(Received 15 May 2017; published 31 January 2018)

Unconventional superconductivity typically emerges in the presence of quasidegenerate ground states, and the associated intense fluctuations are likely responsible for generating the superconducting state. Here we use polarized neutron scattering to study the spin space anisotropy of spin excitations in Fe_{1.07} Te exhibiting bicollinear antiferromagnetic (AF) order, the parent compound of FeTe_{1-x}Se_x superconductors. We confirm that the lowenergy spin excitations are transverse spin waves, consistent with a local-moment origin of the bicollinear AF order. While the ordered moments lie in the *ab* plane in Fe_{1.07}Te, it takes less energy for them to fluctuate out of plane, similar to BaFe₂As₂ and NaFeAs. At energies above $E \gtrsim 20$ meV, we find magnetic scattering to be dominated by an isotropic continuum that persists up to at least 50 meV. Although the isotropic spin excitations cannot be ascribed to spin waves from a long-range-ordered local-moment antiferromagnet, the continuum can result from the bicollinear magnetic order ground state of Fe_{1.07}Te being quasidegenerate with plaquette magnetic order.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.97.024519

I. INTRODUCTION

Unconventional superconductivity in cuprate and heavy fermion superconductors emerges in the vicinity of multiple exotic orders that are quasidegenerate in energy [1-4], providing a plethora of fluctuations that may enhance or even generate superconductivity. Iron-based superconductors are found close to several different magnetic instabilities [5-14], suggesting an important role for magnetism in their superconductivity [15,16]. In addition, these materials may exhibit quasidegenerate ground states, realized through magnetic frustration and electron correlations [17,18]. These interactions are epitomized in the iron chalcogenide $FeTe_{1-x}Se_x$ series, with magnetism evolving from bicollinear (BC) magnetic order in $Fe_{1+\nu}Te[7,19]$ towards competing stripe and Néel fluctuations without static magnetic order in FeSe [20]. Understanding the nature of magnetic fluctuations and manifestations of magnetic frustration is therefore a key step towards elucidating the physics of these materials.

Compared to the parent compounds of iron pnictides that order at the in-plane wave vector $\mathbf{Q} = (0.5, 0.5)$ of the paramagnetic tetragonal unit cell corresponding to the nesting wave vector of electron and hole Fermi surfaces [stripe antiferromagnetic (AF) order] [21,22], the parent compound of iron chalcogenide superconductors Fe_{1+y}Te orders at or near $\mathbf{Q} = (0.5,0)$ [7,19], despite sharing a similar electronic structure with the iron pnictides [23,24]. Furthermore, Fe_{1+y}Te exhibits significantly larger ordered moments [7,19] and stronger electronic correlations [25] than iron pnictides. These results point to localized magnetism in Fe_{1+y} Te, although the presence of itinerant carriers can cause damping of the magnetic excitations.

At low interstitial iron concentrations (y < 0.12), Fe_{1+y}Te exhibits long-range BC order with the ordering vector $\mathbf{Q} = (0.5,0)$ and ordered moments along the *b* axis [Figs. 1(a) and 1(b)]. For $y \approx 0.12$, a collinear short-range-ordered phase that orders at $\mathbf{Q} = (\delta, 0)$ ($\delta \approx 0.45$) with moments along *b* axis is found. For y > 0.12, helical magnetic order at $\mathbf{Q} = (\delta, 0)$ ($\delta \approx 0.38$) with moments rotating in the *bc* plane is stabilized [26,27].

The complexity of magnetism in $Fe_{1+y}Te$ likely arises from frustration, suggested experimentally by spin fluctuations that persist to $\sim 200 \text{ meV}$ [28-30] compared to a much smaller Curie-Weiss temperature [31]. Competition between different ground states is also manifested above T_N in Fe_{1+v}Te exhibiting BC order, with fluctuations at an incommensurate wave vector $\mathbf{Q} = (\delta, 0)$ shifting to the commensurate wave vector $\mathbf{Q} = (0.5,0)$ below $T_{\rm N}$ [27,32]. Theoretically, BC order is degenerate with plaquette (PQ) order that also orders at $\mathbf{Q} = (0.5,0)$ [33]; this degeneracy is removed through spinlattice coupling [34] or ring exchange [33] in $Fe_{1+y}Te$, with BC order prevailing as the ground state, although PQ order remains quasidegenerate in energy. Spin fluctuations associated with the two orders are also difficult to disentangle, with measurements using unpolarized neutron scattering interpreted as damped spin waves from BC order [28] or short-range PQ fluctuations [30]. Separating spin fluctuations associated with competing states is therefore an integral part to elucidating the nature of magnetism in Fe_{1+y} Te.

In this work, we study the spin space anisotropy of spin fluctuations in Fe_{1.07}Te exhibiting BC order below $T_N \approx 68$ K using polarized neutron scattering. We observe two transverse

^{*}yu.song@rice.edu

[†]pdai@rice.edu



FIG. 1. (a) The in-plane BC AF structure of $Fe_{1.07}$ Te with the ordered moments along the b axis. The solid black lines enclose chemical unit cells. Antiparallel ordered moments are shown with different colors. (b) The reciprocal space of magnetically ordered $Fe_{1.07}$ Te, with AF zone centers represented by red circles. For BC order domain ordering at $\mathbf{Q} = (0.5,0)$ (closed circle) or $\mathbf{Q} = (0,0.5)$ (open symbol) can form. (c) Schematic of experimental geometry, the [H,0,L] scattering plane is represented by the shaded gray area, and the angle between **Q** and (1,0,0) is θ . In this scattering plane, only the domain ordering at $\mathbf{Q} = (0.5,0)$ is probed. (d) Color-coded temperature dependence of elastic scans along [H,0,0.5] for σ_r^{SF} demonstrating a first-order magnetic transition with $T_{\rm N} \approx 68$ K. The inset shows the temperature dependence of intensity measured at $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathrm{AF}} = (0.5, 0, 0.5)$, the arrow marks $T_{\mathrm{N}} \approx 68$ K. No discernible intensity is seen at incommensurate wave vectors below T_N , although above $T_{\rm N}$ there is diffuse magnetic scattering centered at an incommensurate position [41]. (e) Elastic scans of $\sigma_{\alpha}^{\text{SF}}$ ($\alpha = x, y, z$) along [H,0,0.5] at T = 2 K. (f) The differences $\sigma_x^{\text{SF}} - \sigma_y^{\text{SF}}$ and $\sigma_x^{\text{SF}} - \sigma_z^{\text{SF}}$ obtained from results in (e).

spin-wave modes associated with the BC order that display different spin-anisotropy gaps. Although the ordered moments lie in the Fe-Te plane, spin waves corresponding to spins rotating out of the plane occur at a lower energy, similar to BaFe₂As₂ [35,36] and NaFeAs [37]. Surprisingly, we observe a continuum of isotropic scattering that extends to at least 50 meV. Our findings can be understood to result from the BC order ground state of Fe_{1+y}Te being quasidegenerate with PQ order, producing an excitation spectra consisting of transverse spin waves and an isotropic spin-liquid-like response.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

Polarized neutron-scattering measurements were carried out using the IN22 triple-axis spectrometer equipped with CRYOPAD at Institut Laue-Langevin, Grenoble, France. A Heusler monochromator and analyzer with fixed $k_{\rm f}$ of 2.66 Å⁻¹ or 3.84 \AA^{-1} were used to carry out longitudinal polarization analysis. We aligned 7 grams of $Fe_{1+\nu}Te$ single crystals with y = 0.07(2) ($a \approx b \approx 3.80$ Å, $c \approx 6.24$ Å) in the [H,0,L] scattering plane; the amount of excess iron is estimated by comparing $T_{\rm N} \approx 68$ K [inset in Fig. 1(d)] of our sample with the well-established Fe_{1+y} Te phase diagram [26]. Using the tetragonal chemical unit cell of Fe_{1+y} Te, BC AF order is observed at $\mathbf{Q}_{AF} = (0.5, 0, L)$ with $L = 0.5, 1.5, 2.5 \dots$ [Fig. 1(b)]. Magnetic neutron scattering directly measures the magnetic scattering function $S^{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{Q}, E)$, which is proportional to the imaginary part of the dynamic susceptibility $\text{Im}\chi^{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{Q}, E)$ through the Bose factor, $S^{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{Q}, E) \propto [1 - \exp(-\frac{E}{k_{\rm B}T})]^{-1} \mathrm{Im} \chi^{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{Q}, E)$ [38]. We denote the diagonal components of the magnetic scattering function $S^{\alpha\alpha}$ as M_{α} [39]. Three neutron spin-flip (SF) cross sections σ_x^{SF} , σ_y^{SF} , and σ_z^{SF} were measured and normalized by monitor count units (m.c.u.), with the usual convention $x \parallel \mathbf{Q}, y \perp \mathbf{Q}$ in the scattering plane and z perpendicular to the scattering plane [Fig. 1(c)]. Neutron SF cross sections measure components of M_{α} that are perpendicular to both \mathbf{Q} and the polarization direction, and therefore M_y contributes to σ_x^{SF} and σ_z^{SF} whereas M_z contributes to σ_x^{SF} and σ_v^{SF} [Fig. 1(c)]. Since ordered moments in Fe_{1+y}Te with BC order are oriented along the b axis, which is parallel to z, elastic magnetic scattering should be seen in σ_x^{SF} and σ_y^{SF} , as confirmed in our experiment [Fig. 1(e)]. A small peak is also observed in σ_z^{SF} due to nonperfect polarization of neutrons, resulting in a flipping ratio of $R \approx 14.5$. M_y and M_z can be obtained through $M_y = c(\sigma_x^{SF} - \sigma_y^{SF})$ and $M_z = c(\sigma_x^{SF} - \sigma_y^{SF})$ σ_z^{SF}), with c = (R-1)/(R+1). Doing so eliminates effects due to background, nonmagnetic scattering, and nonideal polarization of the neutron beam [40]. For elastic magnetic scattering, a peak is seen in M_z while M_y is completely flat [Fig. 1(f)], as expected for BC order with moments along the b axis.

III. RESULTS

In Fe_{1.07}Te, M_z is uniquely associated with the direction of the ordered moments (longitudinal direction) while M_y is a combination of the two transverse directions [Fig. 1(c)]. This contrasts with similar setups in BaFe₂As₂ [36] and NaFeAs [37], where M_z corresponds to a transverse direction and M_y is a mixture of the longitudinal direction and another transverse direction. Therefore for Fe_{1+y}Te, fluctuations along the longitudinal direction can be directly probed in M_z .



FIG. 2. Constant-**Q** scans of σ_x^{SF} , σ_y^{SF} , and σ_z^{SF} at (a) **Q** = (0.5,0,0.5), (c) **Q** = (0.5,0,1.5), (e) **Q** = (0.5,0,2.5), and (g) **Q** = (1.5,0,0.5). The corresponding differences $\sigma_x^{\text{SF}} - \sigma_y^{\text{SF}}$ and $\sigma_x^{\text{SF}} - \sigma_z^{\text{SF}}$ are respectively shown in (b), (d), (f), and (h). Closed and open symbols are measured with fixed $k_f = 2.66 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ and $k_f = 3.84 \text{ Å}^{-1}$, respectively.

Figure 2 summarizes constant-**Q** scans at several equivalent wave vectors corresponding to AF zone centers. Whereas at low energies the magnetic fluctuations are dominated by transverse spin waves in M_y [Figs. 2(a)–2(d)] [27], clear longitudinal fluctuations are seen in M_z above ~20 meV and the excitations become isotropic with $M_y \approx M_z$ above ~35 meV [Figs. 2(e)–2(h)]. Isotropic scattering that appears for $E \gtrsim 20$ meV, as indicated by the broad onset of longitudinal fluctuations, depends weakly on energy and extends over a large energy range (persisting up to at least 50 meV), form-



FIG. 3. (a) M_a , M_b , and M_c for the AF zone centers obtained from data in Fig. 2. Results obtained using different k_f are scaled for best match at E = 10 meV. The solid lines are fits to damped harmonic oscillators in M_a and M_c , and a broad isotropic response appears in all three channels. (b) Constant-energy scans of M_y along (0.5,0,L) for E = 8 meV at 2 K and 75 K. The solid line is fit to a lattice sum of Lorentzian peaks, and the dashed line represents L independent isotropic scattering that is only modulated by the Fe²⁺ magnetic form factor. (c) Constant-Q scans of the three SF cross sections in the paramagnetic state (T = 75 K) at Q = (0.5,0,0,5). Anisotropy is only observed for $E \leq 2$ meV, extending down to E =0, forming anisotropic diffuse magnetic scattering [41].

ing a continuum of scattering. Measurement of non-spin-flip cross sections confirm these conclusions [41]. Such isotropic excitations is unexpected for an ordered local-moment antiferromagnet, which should exhibit transverse spin waves, and also cannot be accounted for by Fermi surfaces that are connected by $\mathbf{Q} = (0.5, 0.5)$ [23,24]. Instead, as discussed below, the isotropic continuum of scattering can be identified as fluctuations associated with PQ order that is quasidegenerate with the BC ground state [33].

Since the two transverse directions are mixed in M_y depending on the angle between **Q** and *H* [Fig. 1(c)], measurements at equivalent wave vectors are needed to separate them [40]. Combining data from equivalent wave vectors from Fig. 2, M_a , M_b , and M_c can be obtained, as shown in Fig. 3(a). For M_a and M_c corresponding to the two transverse directions, spin-wave modes exhibiting different anisotropy gaps can be clearly seen, along with a continuum of isotropic scattering at higher energies. Although the ordered moments are along the *b* axis within the *ab* plane, the *c*-axis polarized spin waves are lower in energy, similar to iron pnictide parent compounds [36,37]. The low-energy transverse spin waves also display a dispersion of \sim 5 meV along L [41], in agreement with previous results [29].

The c-axis polarized spin waves dominating for $E \leq 1$ 10 meV can also be seen in the L scan of M_v in Fig. 3(b). The fast drop of intensity with increasing L is due to the decreasing contribution of M_c as Q turns towards the c axis [Fig. 1(c)]. This should be contrasted with isotropic paramagnetic scattering above T_N with $\sigma_v^{SF} \approx \sigma_z^{SF}$ [Fig. 3(c)], which falls off with L following the magnetic form factor [Fig. 3(b)]. The L dependence of M_v at 2 K in Fig. 3(b) is fit to a lattice sum of Lorentzians that has both the c and a-axis polarized components, resulting in a ratio of 4(1) for the two components, consistent with Fig. 3(a). The strongly anisotropic magnetic excitations shown in Fig. 3(a) suggest spin anisotropy may affect calculation of the local susceptibility at low energies and application of the sum rule, where isotropic scattering is typically assumed [15,42]. Previously, it has been suggested that the strong peak in energy at $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathrm{AF}} = (0.5, 0)$ and $E \approx$ 7 meV in Fe_{1+y} Te may be linked to the resonance seen in superconducting $Fe_{1+y}Te_{1-x}Se_x$ that occurs at a similar energy but different wave vector $\mathbf{Q} = (0.5, 0.5)$ [30,43]. Here we establish that the strong peak in $Fe_{1.07}$ Te is polarized along the c axis, similar to the resonance mode in FeSe [44], but different from the resonance mode in superconducting $Fe_{1+y}Te_{1-x}Se_x$ that has both in-plane and out-of-plane components [45,46]. Our observation that the *c*-axis polarized spin waves being lower in energy for $Fe_{1+\nu}$ Te with BC order also accounts for the rotation plane (*bc* plane rather than *ab* plane) of the helical magnetic structure seen in samples with y > 0.12 [26,27].

Having established the presence of both transverse spin waves and an isotropic continuum of scattering at Q_{AF} , we studied the momentum dependence of these excitations in comparison with isotropic paramagnetic scattering above T_N , as shown in Fig. 4. (The temperature evolution of the scattering cross sections is shown in the Supplemental Materials [41].) For T = 2 K [Figs. 4(a) and 4(c)], the momentum dependence of M_z can be described as short-range PQ correlations [30,47] and M_{y} as a sum of the same short-range PQ correlations and a Gaussian peak centered at $\mathbf{Q} = (0.5,0)$ (dotted lines). These results provide additional evidence that below $T_{\rm N}$, the isotropic scattering that appears in both M_y and M_z is associated with PQ order, whereas the signal only present in M_{y} is due to transverse spin waves of the BC ground state. Below T_N , the transverse spin waves dominate for E = 8 meV [Fig. 4(a)], whereas for E = 22 meV the two components become comparable [Fig. 4(c)]. Above T_N , the scattering becomes isotropic and centered at an incommensurate position ($\sim 0.4, 0$) [Figs. 4(b) and 4(d)], and can also be described as a sum of short-range PQ correlations (dashed lines) and a Gaussian peak centered at $\mathbf{Q} = (0.5,0)$ (dotted lines). Our results suggest above $T_{\rm N}$ fluctuations associated with BC and PQ orders are both present, with the overall intensity centered at $\mathbf{Q} \approx (0.4, 0)$. When BC order is selected as the ground state below $T_{\rm N}$, transverse spin waves become dominant at low energies and the overall signal shifts to $\mathbf{Q} = (0.5,0)$, as experimentally observed [27,32].

IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

The isotropic continuum of scattering in Fe_{1.07}Te is clearly inconsistent with transverse spin waves arising from BC order;



FIG. 4. Scans of $\sigma_x^{SF} - \sigma_y^{SF}$ and $\sigma_x^{SF} - \sigma_z^{SF}$ along [H,0,1.5] for E = 8 meV at (a) 2 K and (b) 75 K. Similar scans are shown for E = 22 meV at (c) 2 K and (d) 75 K. The closed and open symbols are measured with fixed $k_f = 2.66 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ and $k_f = 3.84 \text{ Å}^{-1}$, respectively. Lines are fits as described in the text.

it also cannot be interpreted as two-magnon scattering, which only appears along the longitudinal direction [48,49]. Instead, it is most naturally associated with short-range PO order [50]: while a long-range PQ order would generate spin waves which appear only in the transverse channels, a short-range PQ order produces collective excitations that are isotropic. The quasidegeneracy [33] of the short-range PQ order with the long-range BC order ensures that such excitations occur at relatively low energies, as we have observed here. The presence of both spin waves and a continuum of scattering is also observed in proximate spin-liquid materials such as KCuF₃ [51] and α -RuCl₃ [52], where weak magnetic order is the ground state. Spin excitations in these materials are from the quasidegeneracy of spin-liquid states and magnetically ordered states, with spin waves from the ordered state appearing at lower energies [52]. In Fe_{1+y} Te below T_N , a similar observation is caused by quasidegeneracy of two different magnetic orders.

The picture of PQ order being quasidegenerate with BC order also implies that a small external perturbation can tilt the balance in the stability of the two orders. Indeed, it was found that the large magnetic moment on interstitial iron in Fe_{1+y}Te_{0.62}Se_{0.38} induces short-range spin arrangements resembling the PQ order [53], suggesting excess interstitial iron in Fe_{1+y}Te would similarly favor PQ over BC order locally. This view is consistent with the observation that BC order is destabilized with increasing excess iron [26].

To summarize, our polarized neutron-scattering results in $Fe_{1.07}$ Te point to the presence of both transverse spin waves associated with BC order and a continuum of isotropic excitations likely associated with short-range PQ order. This provides evidence for the quasidegeneracy between the short-range PQ order and the long-range BC order and, thereby, the

strongly frustrated nature of local-moment magnetism in the iron chalcogenides. Our findings underscore the importance of electron correlations to the magnetism and superconductivity in the iron-based materials.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The neutron-scattering work at Rice University is supported by the United States DOE, BES under Contract No. DE-

- E. Fradkin, S. A. Kivelson, and J. M. Tranquada, Rev. Mod. Phys. 87, 457 (2015).
- [2] B. Keimer, S. A. Kivelson, M. R. Norman, S. Uchida, and J. Zaanen, Nature (London) 518, 179 (2015).
- [3] M. Kenzelmann, Rep. Prog. Phys. 80, 034501 (2017).
- [4] D. Y. Kim, S.-Z. Lin, Franziska Weickert, Michel Kenzelmann, Eric D. Bauer, Filip Ronning, J. D. Thompson, and R. Movshovich, Phys. Rev. X 6, 041059 (2016).
- [5] C. de la Cruz, Q. Huang, J. W. Lynn, J. Li, W. Ratcliff II, J. L. Zarestky, H. A. Mook, G. F. Chen, J. L. Luo, N. L. Wang, and P. C. Dai, Nature (London) 453, 899 (2008).
- [6] Q. Huang, Y. Qiu, Wei Bao, M. A. Green, J. W. Lynn, Y. C. Gasparovic, T. Wu, G. Wu, and X. H. Chen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 257003 (2008).
- [7] W. Bao, Y. Qiu, Q. Huang, M. A. Green, P. Zajdel, M. R. Fitzsimmons, M. Zhernenkov, S. Chang, M. Fang, B. Qian, E. K. Vehstedt, Jinhu Yang, H. M. Pham, L. Spinu, and Z. Q. Mao, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 247001 (2009).
- [8] W. Bao, Q.-Z. Huang, G.-F. Chen, M. A. Green, D.-M. Wang, J.-B. He, and Y.-M. Qiu, Chin. Phys. Lett. 28, 086104 (2011).
- [9] J. Zhao, H. Cao, E. Bourret-Courchesne, D.-H. Lee, and R. J. Birgeneau, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 267003 (2012).
- [10] M. Hiraishi, S. Iimura, K. M. Kojima, J. Yamaura, H. Hiraka, K. I., P. Miao, Y. Ishikawa, S. Torii, M. Miyazaki, I. Yamauchi, A. Koda, K. Ishii, M. Yoshida, J. Mizuki, R. Kadono, R. Kumai, T. Kamiyama, T. Otomo, Y. Murakami, S. Matsuishi, and H. Hosono, Nat. Phys. **10**, 300 (2014).
- [11] J. M. Allred, K. M. Taddei, D. E. Bugaris, M. J. Krogstad, S. H. Lapidus, D. Y. Chung, H. Claus, M. G. Kanatzidis, D. E. Brown, J. Kang, R. M. Fernandes, I. Eremin, S. Rosenkranz, O. Chmaissem, and R. Osborn, Nat. Phys. **12**, 493 (2016).
- [12] Y. Song, Z. Yamani, C. Cao, Y. Li, C. Zhang, J. S. Chen, Q. Huang, H. Wu, J. Tao, Y. Zhu, W. Tian, S. Chi, H. Cao, Y.-B. Huang, M. Dantz, T. Schmitt, R. Yu, A. H. Nevidomskyy, E. Morosan, Q. Si, and P. Dai, Nat. Commun. 7, 13879 (2016).
- [13] S. Iimura, H. Okanishi, S. Matsuishi, H. Hiraka, T. Honda, K. Ikeda, T. C. Hansen, T. Otomo, and H. Hosono, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 114, E4254 (2017).
- [14] W. R. Meier, Q.-P. Ding, A. Kreyssig, S. L. Bud'ko, A. Sapkota, K. Kothapalli, V. Borisov, R. Valentí, C. D. Batista, P. P. Orth, R. M. Fernandes, A. I. Goldman, Y. Furukawa, A. E. Böhmer, and P. C. Canfield, arXiv:1706.01067.
- [15] P. C. Dai, Rev. Mod. Phys. 87, 855 (2015).
- [16] D. J. Scalapino, Rev. Mod. Phys. 84, 1383 (2012).
- [17] Q. Si and E. Abrahams, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 076401 (2008).
- [18] Q. Si, R. Yu, and E. Abrahams, Nat. Rev. Mater. 1, 16017 (2016).

SC0012311 (P.D.). A part of the materials work at Rice is supported by the Robert A. Welch Foundation through Grant No. C-1839 (P.D.). The theory work at Rice is supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Basic Energy Sciences, under Award # DE-SC0018197, Robert A. Welch Foundation Grant No. C-1411. (W.-J.H., H.-H.L., and Q.S.), NSF Grant No. DMR-1350237 (H.-H.L. and W.-J.H.), and a Smalley Postdoctoral Fellowship of the Rice Center for Quantum Materials (H.-H.L.).

- [19] S. Li, C. de la Cruz, Q. Huang, Y. Chen, J. W. Lynn, J. Hu, Y.-L. Huang, F.-C. Hsu, K.-W. Yeh, M.-K. Wu, and P. Dai, Phys. Rev. B 79, 054503 (2009).
- [20] Q. Wang, Y. Shen, B. Pan, X. Zhang, K. Ikeuchi, K. Iida, A. D. Christianson, H. C. Walker, D. T. Adroja, M. Abdel-Hafiez, X. Chen, D. A. Chareev, A. N. Vasiliev, and J. Zhao, Nat. Commun. 7, 12182 (2016).
- [21] D. J. Singh and M.-H. Du, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 237003 (2008).
- [22] I. I. Mazin, Nature (London) 464, 183 (2010).
- [23] A. Subedi, L. Zhang, D. J. Singh, and M. H. Du, Phys. Rev. B 78, 134514 (2008).
- [24] Y. Xia, D. Qian, L. Wray, D. Hsieh, G. F. Chen, J. L. Luo, N. L. Wang, and M. Z. Hasan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 037002 (2009).
- [25] Z. P. Yin, K. Haule, and G. Kotliar, Nat. Mater. 10, 932 (2011).
- [26] E. E. Rodriguez, C. Stock, P. Zajdel, K. L. Krycka, C. F. Majkrzak, P. Zavalij, and M. A. Green, Phys. Rev. B 84, 064403 (2011).
- [27] C. Stock, E. E. Rodriguez, P. Bourges, R. A. Ewings, H. Cao, S. Chi, J. A. Rodriguez-Rivera, and M. A. Green, Phys. Rev. B 95, 144407 (2017).
- [28] O. J. Lipscombe, G. F. Chen, C. Fang, T. G. Perring, D. L. Abernathy, A. D. Christianson, T. Egami, N. Wang, J. Hu, and P. Dai, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 057004 (2011).
- [29] C. Stock, E. E. Rodriguez, O. Sobolev, J. A. Rodriguez-Rivera, R. A. Ewings, J. W. Taylor, A. D. Christianson, and M. A. Green, Phys. Rev. B 90, 121113(R) (2014).
- [30] I. A. Zaliznyak, Z. Xu, J. M. Tranquada, G. Gu, A. M. Tsvelik, and M. B. Stone, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 216403 (2011).
- [31] R. Hu, E. S. Bozin, J. B. Warren, and C. Petrovic, Phys. Rev. B 80, 214514 (2009).
- [32] D. Parshall, G. Chen, L. Pintschovius, D. Lamago, Th. Wolf, L. Radzihovsky, and D. Reznik, Phys. Rev. B 85, 140515(R) (2012).
- [33] H.-H. Lai, S.-S. Gong, W.-J. Hu, and Q. Si, arXiv:1608.08206.
- [34] C. B. Bishop, A. Moreo, and E. Dagotto, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 117201 (2016).
- [35] N. Qureshi, P. Steffens, S. Wurmehl, S. Aswartham, B. Büchner, and M. Braden, Phys. Rev. B 86, 060410(R) (2012).
- [36] C. Wang, R. Zhang, F. Wang, H. Luo, L. P. Regnault, P. Dai, and Y. Li, Phys. Rev. X 3, 041036 (2013).
- [37] Y. Song, L.-P. Regnault, C. Zhang, G. Tan, S. V. Carr, S. Chi, A. D. Christianson, T. Xiang, and P. Dai, Phys. Rev. B 88, 134512 (2013).
- [38] A. Furrer, J. Mesot, and T. Strässle, *Neutron Scattering in Condensed Matter Physics* (World Scientific, Singapore, 2009).

- [39] Y. Song, H. Man, R. Zhang, X. Lu, C. Zhang, M. Wang, G. Tan, L.-P. Regnault, Y. Su, J. Kang, and R. M. Fernandes, and P. Dai, Phys. Rev. B 94, 214516 (2016).
- [40] C. Zhang, Y. Song, L.-P. Regnault, Y. Su, M. Enderle, J. Kulda, G. Tan, Z. C. Sims, T. Egami, Q. Si, and P. Dai, Phys. Rev. B 90, 140502 (2014).
- [41] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/supplemental/ 10.1103/PhysRevB.97.024519 for constant-Q scan of non-spinflip cross sections, L dependence of low-energy transverse spin waves, temperature dependence of magnetic excitations, and diffuse magnetic scattering above $T_{\rm N}$.
- [42] G. Xu, Z. Xu, and J. M. Tranquada, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 84, 083906 (2013).
- [43] C. Stock, E. E. Rodriguez, M. A. Green, P. Zavalij, and J. A. Rodriguez-Rivera, Phys. Rev. B 84, 045124 (2011).
- [44] M. Ma, P. Bourges, Y. Sidis, Y. Xu, S. Li, B. Hu, J. Li, F. Wang, and Y. Li, Phys. Rev. X 7, 021025 (2017).
- [45] P. Babkevich, B. Roessli, S. N. Gvasaliya, L.-P. Regnault, P. G. Freeman, E. Pomjakushina, K. Conder, and A. T. Boothroyd, Phys. Rev. B 83, 180506 (2011).

- [46] K. Prokeš, A. Hiess, W. Bao, E. Wheeler, S. Landsgesell, and D. N. Argyriou, Phys. Rev. B 86, 064503 (2012).
- [47] I. Zaliznyak, A. T. Savici, M. Lumsden, A. Tsvelik, R. Hu, and C. Petrovic, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 112, 10316 (2015).
- [48] W. Schweika, S. V. Maleyev, Th. Brückel, V. P. Plakhty, and L.-P. Regnault, Europhys. Lett. 60, 446 (2002).
- [49] T. Huberman, R. Coldea, R. A. Cowley, D. A. Tennant, R. L. Leheny, R. J. Christianson, and C. D. Frost, Phys. Rev. B 72, 014413 (2005).
- [50] H.-H. Lai, W.-J. Hu, Y. Song, P. C. Dai, and Q. Si (unpublished).
- [51] B. Lake, D. A. Tennant, C. D. Frost, and S. E. Nagler, Nat. Mater. 4, 329 (2005).
- [52] A. Banerjee, J. Yan, J. Knolle, C. A. Bridges, M. B. Stone, M. D. Lumsden, D. G. Mandrus, D. A. Tennant, R. Moessner, and S. E. Nagler, Science 356, 1055 (2017).
- [53] V. Thampy, J. Kang, J. A. Rodriguez-Rivera, W. Bao, A. T. Savici, J. Hu, T. J. Liu, B. Qian, D. Fobes, Z. Q. Mao, C. B. Fu, W. C. Chen, Q. Ye, R. W. Erwin, T. R. Gentile, Z. Tesanovic, and C. Broholm, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 107002 (2012).