

**Superconductivity and electronic fluctuations in  $\text{Ba}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$  studied by Raman scattering**S.-F. Wu,<sup>1,2</sup> P. Richard,<sup>2,3,4,\*</sup> H. Ding,<sup>2,3,4</sup> H.-H. Wen,<sup>5,6</sup> Guotai Tan,<sup>7</sup> Meng Wang,<sup>8</sup> Chenglin Zhang,<sup>7</sup> Pengcheng Dai,<sup>7</sup> and G. Blumberg<sup>1,9,†</sup><sup>1</sup>*Department of Physics and Astronomy, Rutgers University, Piscataway, New Jersey 08854, USA*<sup>2</sup>*Beijing National Laboratory for Condensed Matter Physics, and Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China*<sup>3</sup>*School of Physical Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China*<sup>4</sup>*Collaborative Innovation Center of Quantum Matter, Beijing, China*<sup>5</sup>*National Laboratory of Solid State Microstructures and Department of Physics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China*<sup>6</sup>*Collaborative Innovation Center of Advanced Microstructures, Nanjing University, China*<sup>7</sup>*Department of Physics and Astronomy, Rice University, Houston, Texas 77005, USA*<sup>8</sup>*School of Physics, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou 510275, China*<sup>9</sup>*National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, 12618 Tallinn, Estonia*

(Received 22 August 2016; revised manuscript received 11 December 2016; published 21 February 2017)

Using polarization-resolved electronic Raman scattering we study underdoped, optimally doped, and overdoped  $\text{Ba}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$  samples in the normal and superconducting states. We show that low-energy nematic fluctuations are universal for all studied doping ranges. In the superconducting state, we observe two distinct superconducting pair-breaking peaks corresponding to one large and one small superconducting gap. In addition, we detect a collective mode below the superconducting transition in the  $B_{2g}$  channel and determine the evolution of its binding energy with doping. Possible scenarios are proposed to explain the origin of the in-gap collective mode. In the superconducting state of the underdoped regime, we detect a reentrance transition below which the spectral background changes and the collective mode vanishes.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.95.085125](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.95.085125)**I. INTRODUCTION**

Multiband systems often exhibit complex phase diagrams. Host to spin-density wave and nematic order in the underdoped regime and critical behavior for dopings near the maximum superconducting (SC) transition temperature  $T_c$ , the Fe-based superconductors provide a playground for studying many-body electronic interactions and emerging collective modes. Although still debated, many theories claim that the unconventional superconductivity of the Fe-based superconductors itself derives from effective low-energy electronic interactions [1–3], thus justifying the quest for a thorough understanding of their nature.

For the high- $T_c$  cuprate superconductors, one of the hallmarks of unconventional superconductivity was the observation of a neutron spin resonance mode appearing in the SC state at the antiferromagnetic wave vector  $\mathbf{Q}$  [4–12]. Interestingly, a similar magnetic resonance mode has also been detected at 14 meV in the archetype Fe-based superconductor  $\text{Ba}_{0.6}\text{K}_{0.4}\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$  [13,14]. Corresponding signatures of bosonic modes were also detected by single-electron spectroscopies such as angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) [15] and scanning tunneling spectroscopy (STS) [16]. A sharp mode at 10 meV has also been reported in the parent compound [17]. These observations confirm the existence of collective excitations in the Fe-based superconductors. However, due to the complex coupling between the spin, charge, lattice, and orbital degrees of freedom [18], their origin is more difficult to interpret than for the simpler single-band cuprates.

For the Fe-based superconductors, electronic Raman spectroscopy, which directly couples to spin-singlet charge excitation at zero momentum, has recently revealed in-gap collective modes which have never been reported for the cuprates or conventional superconductors. For example, strong and sharp in-gap modes were observed for the  $\text{NaFe}_{1-x}\text{Co}_x\text{As}$  (the Na-111 electron-doped family) superconductors in both the fully symmetric and the quadrupolar channels [19]. In-gap Raman active modes were also reported for the electron-doped  $\text{Ba}(\text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{Co}_x)_2\text{As}_2$  family [20] and for hole-doped  $\text{Ba}_{0.6}\text{K}_{0.4}\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$  [21–23]. While several interpretations for these remarkable resonances were proposed [19,20,24–34], the origin of the electronic interactions leading to these in-gap resonances for multiband Fe-based superconductors remains unresolved and calls for more extensive studies.

In this work, we use polarization-resolved Raman spectroscopy to study the  $\text{Ba}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$  family of superconductors as function of the hole doping, in both the normal and SC states. We demonstrate that the critical quadrupolar nematic charge fluctuations of  $XY$  symmetry persist across the entire phase diagram, similar to the family of electron-doped materials [19]. In addition, nematic fluctuations of  $(X^2-Y^2)$  symmetry have also been detected. In the SC state, we observe pair-breaking coherence peaks at energies consistent with the values reported by single-particle spectroscopies. In addition, we study the evolution of the binding energy of the  $XY$  symmetry in-gap collective mode with doping. We report a reentrance behavior from the fourfold symmetry broken to the fourfold symmetry preserved phase in the SC state of the underdoped  $\text{Ba}_{0.75}\text{K}_{0.25}\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$ .

In Sec. II, we introduce the sample preparation and the Raman experiments. We present our Raman results for three dopings in the  $A_{1g}$ ,  $B_{1g}$ , and  $B_{2g}$  symmetry channels in Secs. III A and III B for the normal and SC states, respectively.

\*p.richard@iphy.ac.cn

†girsh@physics.rutgers.edu




FIG. 1. (a) Crystal structure of  $\text{Ba}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$ . (b) Definition of the  $X$ ,  $Y$ ,  $X'$ , and  $Y'$  directions. The green and black lines represent the 4-Fe and 2-Fe unit cells, respectively. (c) Schematic representation of the Fermi surface of  $\text{Ba}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$  in the 2-Fe Brillouin zone.

In Sec. IV, we discuss possible scenarios for the origin of the in-gap mode. The results are summarized in Sec. V.

## II. EXPERIMENT

Single crystals of  $\text{Ba}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$  ( $x = 0.25, 0.4$ , and  $0.6$ , with  $T_c$  values of 31, 38, and 25 K, respectively) were grown by the self-flux method as described in Ref. [35]. These samples are labeled UD (underdoped), OPD (optimally doped), and OD (overdoped), respectively. The crystals used for Raman scattering were cleaved in nitrogen gas atmosphere and positioned in a continuous-flow liquid-helium optical cryostat. Since the optimally doped sample was cleaved twice, the corresponding sets of data are labeled “OPD#1” and “OPD#2.”

The measurements presented here were performed in a quasibackscattering geometry along the  $c$  axis using a  $\text{Kr}^+$  ion laser. Except for inset of Fig. 5(c), for which the 752-nm (1.65-eV) laser line was used, all data were recorded with 647.1-nm (1.92-eV) excitation. The incident laser beam was focused onto a  $50 \times 100 \mu\text{m}^2$  spot on the  $ab$  surface, with an incident power smaller than 10 and 3 mW for measurements in the normal and SC states, respectively. The scattered light was collected and analyzed by a triple-stage Raman spectrometer designed for high-stray light rejection and throughput, and recorded using a liquid-nitrogen-cooled charge-coupled detector. The Raman spectra were corrected for the spectral responses of the spectrometer and detector. The temperature has been corrected for the laser heating.

In this paper, we define  $X$  and  $Y$  along the 2 Fe unit-cell crystallographic axes  $a$  and  $b$  (at  $45^\circ$  from the Fe-Fe direction) in the tetragonal phase, whereas  $X'$  and  $Y'$  are along the Fe-Fe directions, as shown in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b).

For crystals with the  $D_{4h}$  point-group symmetry, the  $XX$ ,  $X'Y'$ , and  $XY$  Raman geometries probe the  $A_{1g} + B_{1g}$ ,  $A_{2g} + B_{1g}$ , and  $A_{2g} + B_{2g}$  channels, respectively [36]. Assuming the same featureless luminescence background  $I_{BG}$  for all

polarization geometries and that the  $A_{2g}$  response is negligible, the imaginary part of the Raman susceptibility in the  $A_{1g}$  channel can be obtained by subtracting the  $X'Y'$  spectrum from the  $XX$  spectrum and then dividing by the Bose-Einstein factor  $1 + n(\omega, T)$ . The imaginary part of the Raman susceptibility in the  $B_{1g}$  and  $B_{2g}$  channels can be obtained from  $X'Y'$  and  $XY$  spectra, respectively.

## III. RESULTS

### A. Normal state

In Figs. 2(a)–2(i), we show the normal-state Raman spectra of  $\text{Ba}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$  in three different symmetry channels. The sharp mode around  $182 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  detected at room temperature in Figs. 2(a)–2(c) corresponds to a  $A_{1g}$  phonon. The phonon frequency hardens upon cooling [38]. The phonon intensity strengthens with K doping. The  $B_{2g}$  symmetry electronic continuum strengthens upon cooling from 300 to 40 K [Figs. 2(d)–2(f)]. In particular, at low temperature a broad low-energy feature centered around  $100 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  develops. Similar quasielastic scattering was previously related to quadrupolar nematic fluctuations [19,39]. We note that the intensity of this quasielastic scattering for  $\text{Ba}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$  is weaker than for  $\text{Ba}(\text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{Co}_x)_2\text{As}_2$  [23,40,41], which is possibly due to the different anisotropic properties of the electron-doped and hole-doped Fe-based superconductors also noted by resistivity measurements [42,43]. In addition to the  $B_{1g}$  phonon at  $208 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , the spectra in the  $B_{1g}$  symmetry channel also contains quasielastic scattering features similar to the one discussed above [Figs. 2(g)–2(i)].

In Figs. 2(j)–2(l), we show the static Raman susceptibilities  $\chi_{B_{1g}}(0, T)$  and  $\chi_{B_{2g}}(0, T)$  obtained via the Kramers-Kronig transformation with a high-energy cutoff at  $350 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  justified by an already small  $\chi''(\omega)/\omega$  integrand at that energy. We used a linear extrapolation for the  $\chi''(\omega)$  below  $10 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . The  $B_{1g}$  phonon was removed by fitting before the Kramers-Kronig transformation. The susceptibilities show general enhancement upon cooling from room temperature followed by a mild reduction at low temperatures.  $\chi_{B_{2g}}(0, T)$  is larger than  $\chi_{B_{1g}}(0, T)$  in the underdoped [Fig. 2(k)] and optimally doped [Fig. 2(l)] samples, suggesting that the  $B_{2g}$  channel is the dominant channel for the nematic fluctuations. However, the  $B_{1g}$  and  $B_{2g}$  symmetry susceptibilities are quite similar in the overdoped regime. In a recent study of  $\text{BaFe}_2(\text{As}_{0.5}\text{P}_{0.5})_2$ , it was argued that the similarity between the  $\chi_{B_{1g}}(0, T)$  and  $\chi_{B_{2g}}(0, T)$  static susceptibilities could originate from a disorder due to As/P substitution [44]. The same argument could also apply here due to the Ba/K substitution.

In the inset of Fig. 2(j), we show the inverse of the static susceptibility  $1/\chi_{B_{2g}}(0, T)$  and compare it to the measurements of the elastic modulus  $C_{66}(T)$  [45]. Following the model proposed in Ref. [37],  $C_{66}(T)$  is renormalized due to the electron-lattice coupling following  $C_{66}(T) = C_{66,0} - \lambda^2 \chi_\phi(T)$ , where  $C_{66,0}$  is the bare elastic constant,  $\phi$  is the nematic order parameter,  $\chi_\phi$  is the related nematic susceptibility, and  $\lambda$  is the electron-lattice coupling constant [37,46]. The electronic nematic susceptibility  $\chi_{\text{nem}}^{\text{el}}(T)$  can thus be derived from measurements of the elastic modulus  $C_{66}(T)$  [45] [or Young’s modulus  $Y_{110}(T)$  with  $C_{66}/C_{66,0} \approx Y_{110}/Y_0$  [37]]. As shown in




FIG. 2. Doping and temperature evolution of the Raman susceptibility of  $Ba_{1-x}K_xFe_2As_2$  in different symmetry channels. Left column: UD ( $x = 0.25$ ); central column: OPD#1 ( $x = 0.4$ ); right column: OD ( $x = 0.6$ ). (a)–(c) Temperature dependence of the Raman response in the  $A_{1g}$  channel. The asterisk in (a) marks a small peak due to laser plasma, whereas the arrow indicates a  $A_{1g}$  phonon. (d)–(f) Temperature dependence of the Raman response in the  $B_{2g}$  channel. (g)–(i) Same as (d)–(f) but for the  $B_{1g}$  channel. (j)–(l)  $T$  dependence of the static Raman susceptibilities  $\chi_{B_{2g}}(0,T)$  (red solid circles) and  $\chi_{B_{1g}}(0,T)$  (blue solid squares). The inset of (j) shows the inverse nematic susceptibility  $1/\chi_{nem}^{el}$  (black line) of  $Ba_{0.86}K_{0.24}Fe_2As_2$  extracted from Young’s modulus measurements in Ref. [37]. The cyan dots in the inset of (j) are values of  $1/\chi_{B_{2g}}(0,T)$  derived from Raman.

the inset of Fig. 2(j),  $1/\chi_{B_{2g}}(0,T)$  from Raman measurements scales satisfactorily with  $1/\chi^{el}(T)$  computed and scaled from

Young’s modulus measurements of  $Ba_{0.86}K_{0.24}Fe_2As_2$  from Ref. [37]. This scaling above  $T_S$  in the underdoped regime

TABLE I. Summary of the SC gaps and bosonic modes deduced from Raman scattering, ARPES, STS, and inelastic neutron scattering (INS). UD, OPD, and OD refer to underdoped, optimally doped, and overdoped samples, respectively. We caution that the doping of the underdoped and overdoped samples measured by different techniques may be different and that the collective modes observed by Raman and by other types of spectroscopies may have different origins. All energies are given in units of meV.

	Raman (This work)	Raman ([21,22])	ARPES	STS	INS
$\Delta_\alpha^{(UD)}$			9 [47]	6 [48]	
$\Delta_\beta^{(UD)}$	3.8		4 [47]	3.8 [48]	
$E_{CM}^{(UD)}$	12			8 [48]	12.5 [49]
$\Delta_\alpha^{(OPD)}$	10.8 ( $B_{2g}$ )	10.6	9-13 [50–52]	10.5 [53]	
$\Delta_\beta^{(OPD)}$	4.4	4.4	5-6 [50–52]	6 [53]	
$E_{CM}^{(OPD)}$	17.5	17.5	$13 \pm 2$ [15]	14 [16]	14 [13]
$\Delta_\alpha^{(OD)}$	10		8 [54]	6 [53]	
$\Delta_\beta^{(OD)}$	3		4 [54]	3 [53]	
$E_{CM}^{(OD)}$	14				12 [55]

suggests that the softening of  $C_{66}$  [45] and the enhancement of the Raman static susceptibility upon cooling are related.

### B. Superconducting state

Before discussing the Raman scattering features observed at low temperature, we recall the SC gap values obtained by complementary spectroscopic probes in optimally doped  $Ba_{1-x}K_xFe_2As_2$ . ARPES studies report nodeless SC gaps on all Fermi surface (FS) pockets, with small or negligible in-plane anisotropy [50,51]. While a SC gap of 6 meV is found on the holelike  $\beta$  ( $d_{xy}$ ) FS centered at the  $\Gamma$  point, a larger gap of about 12 meV is found on all the other pockets, with differences smaller than a meV [52]. An ARPES study of the SC gap using synchrotron radiation, which allows to vary the  $k_z$  position, indicates that the gap size on each FS does not vary significantly with  $k_z$ , except for the  $\Gamma$ -centered hole FS formed by the even combination of the  $d_{xz}$  and  $d_{yz}$  orbitals, for which a gap varies between 9 and 12 meV [56]. Results compatible with ARPES are obtained by STS, which reveals two coherence SC peaks at 10.5 and 6 meV [53], and by optical conductivity, for which a SC gap of 12.5 meV opens below  $T_c$  [57]. Thermal conductivity measurements are consistent with nodeless gaps for the optimally doped compound [58]. At the energy scale similar to the SC gaps, a 14-meV neutron resonance mode is reported below  $T_c$  at the antiferromagnetic wave vector  $\mathbf{Q}$  [13]. Interestingly, a  $13 \pm 2$  meV mode energy determined from a kink in the electronic dispersion is observed by ARPES below  $T_c$  on bands quasinested by the antiferromagnetic wave vector [15]. STS measurements also reveal coupling to a bosonic mode at 14 meV [16]. We summarize values of the SC gaps and bosonic modes deduced from different spectroscopies in Table I.

#### 1. Optimal doping

In Fig. 3, we compare the Raman spectra at 45 K (normal state) and 6 K (SC state) in three symmetry channels from




FIG. 3. (a)–(c) Raman response of  $Ba_{0.4}K_{0.6}Fe_2As_2$  (OPD#2) at 45 K (red) and 6 K (blue) in the (a)  $A_{1g}$ , (b)  $B_{1g}$ , and (c)  $B_{2g}$  channels. The dashed lines in (c) mark a broad feature at  $70 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  ( $2\Delta_\beta$ ), a collective mode ( $E_{CM}$ ) around  $140 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , and a pair-breaking peak at  $172 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  ( $2\Delta_\alpha$ ). (d)–(f) Same as (a)–(c) but for sample OPD#1. For the OPD#1 sample we find  $2\Delta_\beta = 50 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ,  $E_{CM} = 120 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , and  $2\Delta_\alpha = 168 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ .

optimally doped samples OPD#1 and OPD#2. We first start describing results from the OPD#2 sample. In Fig. 3(a), two broad and weak features emerge around  $70$  and  $210 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , which we assign to  $A_{1g}$  SC pair-breaking peaks corresponding to gap values  $2\Delta$  of  $8.8$  and  $26.2 \text{ meV}$ , respectively. In Fig. 3(b), a small spectral weight suppression is seen below  $160 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  in the  $B_{1g}$  channel. In Fig. 3(c), a broad and weak feature at  $70 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  ( $8.8 \text{ meV}$ ) is observed in the  $B_{2g}$  channel, which we assign to the small gap  $2\Delta_\beta$  on the  $\beta$  FS pocket with  $d_{xy}$  character [50,51]. Another sharp mode at  $172 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  associated with a SC pair breaking-peak at  $2\Delta_\alpha = 21.6 \text{ meV}$  appears in the  $B_{2g}$  channel, which is consistent with the  $10$ – $13 \text{ meV}$  magnitude measured by ARPES for the large SC gap around  $k_z = 0$  [50–52]. The large gap value varies from  $10.8 \text{ meV}$  in the  $B_{2g}$  channel to  $13.1 \text{ meV}$  in the  $A_{1g}$  channel, in agreement with ARPES measurements revealing an anisotropic gap along  $k_z$  [56]. Between  $2\Delta_\beta$  and  $2\Delta_\alpha$ , we detect a sharp mode at  $E_{CM} = 140 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  ( $17.5 \text{ meV}$ ), which will be discussed below.

For the OPD#1 sample, only a small broad feature around  $160 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  is seen in the  $A_{1g}$  symmetry response [Fig. 3(d)]. In contrast, the spectral features in the  $B_{1g}$  and  $B_{2g}$  channels appear more clearly for the OPD#1 sample than for the OPD#2 sample. In Fig. 3(e), a spectral weight suppression below  $T_c$  is seen below  $160 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  in the  $B_{1g}$  channel. For the  $B_{2g}$  channel, two sharp modes at  $120$  and  $168 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , as well as a kink feature at  $50 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , are seen in Fig. 3(f). While little change is observed for the large SC gap pair-breaking peak energy as compared to the OPD#2 sample, a substantial shift from  $70$  to  $50 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  is observed for the small SC gap pair-breaking peak energy. The sharp  $E_{CM}$  mode shifts by the same amount,




FIG. 4. Raman response of  $\text{Ba}_{0.6}\text{K}_{0.4}\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$  (OD) at 40 K (red) and 6 K (blue) in the  $B_{2g}$  channel. The dashed lines mark a broad peak at  $50\text{ cm}^{-1}$  ( $2\Delta_\beta$ ), a collective mode  $E_{CM}$  at  $115\text{ cm}^{-1}$ , and a pair-breaking peak at  $162\text{ cm}^{-1}$  ( $2\Delta_\alpha$ ).

from  $140$  to  $120\text{ cm}^{-1}$  in the OPD#1 sample. Since the results for the OPD#2 sample are consistent with previous Raman work [21] for the optimally doped compound, we caution that the OPD#1 sample cleaved in this study must have a slightly different doping due to inhomogeneous K distribution in the bulk or rapid sample aging.

In addition to the sharp peak, a threshold is also observed around  $30\text{ cm}^{-1}$  in the SC state [Figs. 3(e) and 3(f)]. This threshold suggests a fundamental gap of  $1.9\text{ meV}$ , consistent with the  $2\text{-meV}$ -wide flat bottom in the STS spectra [53]. No clear threshold is detected in the OPD#2 sample though, possibly because the cleaved surface is not good enough, as suggested by weaker peaks in the  $B_{2g}$  channel.

## 2. Overdoped regime

We now discuss the spectra from the overdoped sample. In Fig. 4, we compare the Raman response obtained at 40 K (normal state) and 6 K (SC state) in the  $B_{2g}$  channel. Four features are clearly observed: a threshold around  $30\text{ cm}^{-1}$ , a kinklike feature around  $50\text{ cm}^{-1}$ , and two sharp modes at  $115$  and  $162\text{ cm}^{-1}$ . As with the OPD#1 sample, we assign the threshold to a fundamental SC gap. The kink around  $50\text{ cm}^{-1}$  corresponds to the small SC gap pair-breaking peak with  $2\Delta_\beta = 6\text{ meV}$ . The sharp mode at  $162\text{ cm}^{-1}$  corresponds to the large SC gap pair-breaking peak with  $2\Delta_\alpha = 20\text{ meV}$ . As a comparison, an ARPES study on overdoped  $\text{Ba}_{0.7}\text{K}_{0.3}\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$  ( $T_c = 22\text{ K}$ ) gives  $\Delta_\alpha = 8\text{ meV}$  and  $\Delta_\beta = 4\text{ meV}$  [54]. Finally, the sharp mode at  $115\text{ cm}^{-1}$  ( $14\text{ meV}$ ) is associated to the  $E_{CM}$  mode. We note that all the features for the OD sample are similar to those for the OPD#1 sample, confirming that the OPD#1 sample might be slightly overdoped.

## 3. Underdoped regime

We now discuss results for the underdoped regime. In the left column of Fig. 5, we compare the Raman responses  $\chi''(\omega)$  from the underdoped sample at 40 K (normal state) and 6 K (SC state) in three symmetry channels. A small suppression of spectral weight is observed below  $T_c$  at low energies in the  $A_{1g}$  channel [Fig. 5(a)], and the spectra barely change in the  $B_{1g}$  channel [Fig. 5(b)]. In the  $B_{2g}$  channel, however,




FIG. 5. Raman response of  $\text{Ba}_{0.75}\text{K}_{0.25}\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$  (UD 30 K) at 40 K (red) and 6 K (blue) for the (a)  $A_{1g}$ , (b)  $B_{1g}$ , and (c)  $B_{2g}$  symmetries. The star in (a) represents a laser plasma line. The inset in (c) shows the Raman responses recorded with a  $752\text{-nm}$  laser excitation. (d)  $\chi''_{B_{2g}}(\omega)$  at various temperatures. The dashed lines in (d) indicate  $2\Delta_\beta$  and  $E_{CM}$ . The red curves in (d) are fits of the  $E_{CM}$  peaks. The yellow and green shadings emphasize different spectral backgrounds associated to different phases.

spectral weight is transferred from the low energy, and a sharp peak at  $60\text{ cm}^{-1}$  builds up. This peak is also seen when  $752\text{-nm}$  excitation is used, as shown in the inset of Fig. 5(c). Following the interpretation of the kink observed at  $70\text{ cm}^{-1}$  at optimal doping, we attribute the  $60\text{-cm}^{-1}$  feature in the UD sample to a pair-breaking peak with  $2\Delta_\beta = 7.5\text{ meV}$ , which is consistent with the  $\Delta_\beta = 4\text{ meV}$  gap value reported by ARPES measurements for the  $\beta$  ( $d_{xy}$ )  $\Gamma$ -centered hole FS pocket for samples with similar doping level [47]. Surprisingly, the sharp SC pair-breaking peak at  $172\text{ cm}^{-1}$  observed at low temperature for optimally doped samples is absent in the UD sample. Although the reason for this disappearance is unclear, we caution that it may be related to the loss of coherence observed by ARPES experiments for the  $d_{xz}/d_{yz}$  bands [47].

As illustrated by the fine temperature dependence of the  $B_{2g}$  Raman response in Fig. 5(d), the sharp peak at  $60\text{ cm}^{-1}$  appears clearly only below  $10\text{ K}$ . Interestingly, the  $B_{2g}$  spectrum exhibits clear changes across that temperature, as highlighted with yellow and green backgrounds in Fig. 5(d). For example, below  $10\text{ K}$  the spectral background is flat between  $100$  and  $350\text{ cm}^{-1}$ , but shows a broad feature above that temperature. These observations are consistent with recent studies on  $\text{Ba}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$  [59,60] and  $\text{Ba}_{1-x}\text{Na}_x\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$  [61,62] suggesting reentrance into the  $C_4$  preserved magnetic phase in the underdoped regime. Within this context, the broad feature above  $10\text{ K}$  can be interpreted as the formation of a spin-density-wave gap below the magnetic phase transition.




FIG. 6. (a) Raman response of  $\text{Ba}_{0.75}\text{K}_{0.25}\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$  in the  $B_{2g}$  channel at 13 K. (b) Difference between the Raman spectra at 6 K in the SC state and in the normal state, recorded in the  $B_{2g}$  channel for different dopings. (c) Summary of the SC pair-breaking peaks and in-gap mode in  $\text{Ba}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$  obtained in the  $B_{2g}$  channel. The full and open symbols correspond to results from this work and from ARPES [47,50,51], respectively.

We note that a pseudogap of about 17 meV was observed by ARPES below 125 K in underdoped  $\text{Ba}_{0.75}\text{K}_{0.25}\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$  [47]. Assuming that this pseudogap is approximately symmetric with respect to the Fermi energy, it would lead to a Raman feature at twice this value ( $\sim 35$  meV), which is roughly the position of the broad feature observed in Raman data. The sudden disappearance of the broad feature below 10 K could be explained either by a nonmagnetic low-temperature phase ( $T < 10$  K), which would contradict the phase diagram presented in Ref. [59], by a different magnetic structure, or by restoring the fourfold symmetry at the lowest temperature. The  $E_{CM}$  mode in the UD sample is detected around 95 cm<sup>-1</sup> only between 22 and 13 K, emphasizing further the difference between the phases above and below the phase transition at 10 K.

#### IV. DISCUSSION

In this section, we discuss the origin of the  $E_{CM}$  mode. In Fig. 6(b), we plot the doping dependence of the difference

TABLE II. Summary of the binding energy of the in-gap mode in the  $B_{2g}$  channel for the OPD and OD samples. All values are given in cm<sup>-1</sup>.

Sample	$2\Delta_\alpha$	$E_{CM}$	$E_B$	$E_B/2\Delta_\alpha$
OPD#2	172	140	32	0.2
OD	162	115	47	0.3

between the  $B_{2g}$  Raman response function recorded in the SC state at 6 K, deep in the SC state, and at the normal state. Although both the  $2\Delta_\alpha$  and  $2\Delta_\beta$  peaks shift with doping, the shift is more pronounced for the later one [see Fig. 6(c)]. Interestingly, the  $E_{CM}$  mode moves almost by the same amount as the  $2\Delta_\beta$  peak: the mode is observed at 95 cm<sup>-1</sup> (11.9 meV) for  $x = 0.25$ , at 140 cm<sup>-1</sup> (17.5 meV) for  $x = 0.4$ , and at 115 cm<sup>-1</sup> (14.4 meV) for  $x = 0.6$  doping levels. The  $E_{CM}$  mode energy is higher than the gap typically observed by ARPES for the  $\beta$  ( $d_{xy}$ ) band and smaller than the gap observed on the other FSs for corresponding dopings [47,50,51]. Consequently, the  $E_{CM}$  mode is unlikely related to a SC pair-breaking peak on the same band.

We note that the  $E_{CM}$  mode energy is similar to the sum  $\Delta_\beta + \Delta_\alpha$ . One speculative explanation for the  $E_{CM}$  related to an interband scattering process lies in the observation of in-gap impurity states by ARPES below  $T_c$  [63]: a photon breaks a Cooper pair out of the condensate and creates a quasiparticle on the band with an energy cost  $\Delta_\alpha$ , while the second particle from the broken pair is scattered into a quasiparticle state of the band with the smaller gap (energy cost  $\Delta_\beta$ ), with the help of an impurity taking the recoil for conservation of the quasimomentum. Due to the residual interaction coming from both pairing and Coulomb interaction between two quasiparticles on different bands, and to some charge transfer between bands, the cost of this process is slightly smaller than  $\Delta_\alpha + \Delta_\beta$ . However, it is not clear within this scenario why the related Raman mode is so sharp and symmetric.

We note that the energy of the  $E_{CM}$  mode is similar to that of the neutron resonance mode observed only below  $T_c$  in the triplet channel at the antiferromagnetic wave vector [13] and to the kink energy observed in the quasiparticle dispersion by ARPES also only below  $T_c$  [15]. In principle, only spin-singlet modes with nearly zero momentum transfer can be probed by Raman scattering. Thus, the neutron resonance mode and the Raman collective mode are distinct. The fact that the binding energies of these two modes are similar suggests that the interaction leading to the origin of in-gap resonance in the magnetic channel is not that different from the attraction in the spin-singlet channel. In other words, interactions at momentum transfer  $\mathbf{q} = 0$  and  $\mathbf{Q}$  (such as intrapocket and interpocket interactions, respectively) have similar strength. Hence, a proper model description of the collective modes in such superconductor must consider both types of interactions on equal footing.

In Table II, we summarize the binding energy  $E_B = 2\Delta_\alpha - E_{CM}$  and the ratio between the binding energy and the large gap edge  $E_B/2\Delta_\alpha$  for OPD#2 and OD samples. With doping the binding energy increases from 32 cm<sup>-1</sup> for optimally doped regime to 47 cm<sup>-1</sup> for the overdoped regime, and the ratio

$E_B/2\Delta_\alpha$  increases from 0.2 to 0.3, indicating enhancement of the residual interactions with doping.

The interaction could originate from the attraction in subdominant symmetry particle-particle channel leading to a Bardasis-Schrieffer (BS) type exciton [19,24,26,29,31,33] or, alternatively, from particle-hole attraction leading to nematic fluctuations and a Pomeranchuk-type exciton [19,20,27,33,34]. The increase of the binding energy with doping within the first BS scenario is an indication that the competing  $d$ -wave symmetry interaction strengthen with doping. Indeed, although fully gapped superconductivity is well established in the optimally doped regime, numerous experiments suggest that transition from nodeless to nodal order parameter appear in the heavily hole-doped regime for  $x > 0.8$  [64–68]. Because the structural instability is suppressed with K doping, the nematic interactions weaken, in agreement with the observed reduction of the nematic susceptibility with doping [Figs. 2(j)–2(l)].

However, the nematic fluctuations can grow stronger below  $T_c$ , where low-lying excitations are gapped and thus the damping of the nematic fluctuations is removed. In this case, nematic fluctuations can gain coherence and lead to a particle-hole exciton mode manifesting itself as a sharp resonance in the  $B_{2g}$  channel [19,20,34]. Interestingly, the collective modes that appear in the tetragonal phase of the optimally doped and overdoped samples are sharper and stronger than that in the orthorhombic phase of the underdoped regime, likely due to suppressed nematic fluctuations in the orthorhombic phase, where the fourfold symmetry is broken.

We note that for a multiband system the interactions of the particle-particle and particle-hole channels of the same symmetry representation mix. Therefore, the separation between Bardasis-Schrieffer-type and Pomeranchuk-type excitons is artificial as both the particle-particle and particle-hole interactions contribute to formation of the in-gap exciton [33]. We also note that recent theoretical studies show that nematic fluctuations can enhance the  $s$ -wave Cooper pairing and thus explain the enhancement of  $T_c$  near the nematic quantum critical point [69,70].

## V. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we used polarization-resolved electronic Raman spectroscopy to probe the electronic properties of  $\text{Ba}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$  in the normal and SC states as a function of doping ( $0.25 \leq x \leq 0.6$ ). We find that temperature-dependent quadrupolar nematic fluctuations are universally present for all studied doping ranges. The derived dynamic response

static Raman susceptibility  $\chi_{B_{2g}}(0, T)$  is larger than  $\chi_{B_{1g}}(0, T)$ , suggesting that nematic fluctuations of the  $XY$  symmetry dominate. In particular, the temperature dependence of the static Raman susceptibility  $\chi_{B_{2g}}(0, T)$  in the underdoped sample is consistent with measurements of the elastic modulus  $C_{66}(T)$ , suggesting that the  $XY$ -symmetry electronic fluctuations and the lattice are strongly coupled.

In the SC state, for the optimally doped regime, we detected three features in the  $B_{2g}$  symmetry Raman response: two pair-breaking peaks at  $70 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  (8.75 meV) and  $172 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  (21.5 meV) corresponding to a small and a large gap, and an in-gap collective mode at  $140 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  (17.5 meV). For the overdoped regime, similar three features in  $B_{2g}$  channel were observed: two pair-breaking peaks at  $50 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  (6.25 meV) and  $115 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  (14.38 meV), and an in-gap mode at  $162 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  (20.25 meV). We discuss scenarios for the origin of the in-gap modes including the mixture of Bardasis-Schrieffer-type and Pomeranchuk-type excitons. The binding energy of the in-gap mode increases from optimal doping to overdoping, suggesting a possible transition from nodeless  $s_\pm$  order parameter to a nodal  $d$ -wave order parameter at higher K-doping concentration.

In the underdoped regime, the  $B_{2g}$  symmetry pair-breaking peak corresponding to the large gap is undetectable. We detected a sharp pair-breaking peak at  $60 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  (3.8 meV) corresponding to the small gap. In addition, the shape of the spectral background changes at 10 K, suggesting two distinct SC phases in the underdoped regime. We observed a broader peak at  $95 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  above 10 K, which we assign to the collective in-gap mode in the underdoped regime.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge useful discussions with K. Haule, V. K. Thorsmølle, W.-L. Zhang, P. Zhang, H. Miao, and J.-X. Yin. We acknowledge H.-H. Kung and B. Dennis for help with spectroscopic experiments. The spectroscopic study and analysis at Rutgers were supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Division of Materials Sciences and Engineering under Grant No. DE-SC0005463. The materials characterization at IOP was supported by grants from MOST (Grants No. 2015CB921301, No. 2016YFA0401000, No. 2016YFA0300300) and NSFC (Grants No. 11274362, No. 11674371) of China and at Rutgers by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. NSF DMR-1104884. The crystal growth was supported by grants from MOST (Grant No. 2011CBA00102) and NSFC (Grant No. 11534005) of China.

- 
- [1] I. I. Mazin and J. Schmalian, Pairing symmetry and pairing state in ferropnictides: Theoretical overview, *Phys. C (Amsterdam)* **469**, 614 (2009).
- [2] S. Graser, T. A. Maier, P. J. Hirschfeld, and D. J. Scalapino, Near-degeneracy of several pairing channels in multiorbital models for the Fe pnictides, *New J. Phys.* **11**, 025016 (2009).
- [3] R. M. Fernandes and A. V. Chubukov, Low-energy microscopic models for iron-based superconductors: A review, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **80**, 014503 (2017).
- [4] J. Rossat-Mignod, L. P. Regnault, C. Vettier, P. Bourges, P. Bulet, J. Bossy, J. Y. Henry, and G. Lapertot, Neutron scattering study of the  $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$  system, *Phys. C (Amsterdam)* **185–189**, 86 (1991).
- [5] H. A. Mook, M. Yethiraj, G. Aeppli, T. E. Mason, and T. Armstrong, Polarized Neutron Determination of the Magnetic Excitations in  $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_7$ , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70**, 3490 (1993).
- [6] H. F. Fong, P. Bourges, Y. Sidis, L. P. Regnault, A. Ivanov, G. D. Gu, N. Koshizuka, and B. Keimer, Neutron scattering from

- magnetic excitations in  $\text{Bi}_2\text{Sr}_2\text{CaCu}_2\text{O}_{8+\delta}$ , *Nature (London)* **398**, 588 (1999).
- [7] P. C. Dai, H. A. Mook, G. Aeppli, S. M. Hayden, and F. Dogan, Resonance as a measure of pairing correlations in the high- $T_c$  superconductor  $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.6}$ , *Nature (London)* **406**, 965 (2000).
- [8] H. He, P. Bourges, Y. Sidis, C. Ulrich, L. P. Regnault, S. Pailhès, N. S. Berzigiarova, N. N. Kolesnikov, and B. Keimer, Magnetic resonant mode in the single-layer high-temperature superconductor  $\text{Tl}_2\text{Ba}_2\text{CuO}_{6+\delta}$ , *Science* **295**, 1045 (2002).
- [9] M. Eschrig, The effect of collective spin-1 excitations on electronic spectra in high- $T_c$  superconductors, *Adv. Phys.* **55**, 47 (2006).
- [10] J. Zhao, P. C. Dai, S. L. Li, P. G. Freeman, Y. Onose, and Y. Tokura, Neutron-Spin Resonance in the Optimally Electron-Doped Superconductor  $\text{Nd}_{1.85}\text{Ce}_{0.15}\text{CuO}_{4-\delta}$ , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 017001 (2007).
- [11] H. F. Fong, B. Keimer, P. W. Anderson, D. Reznik, F. Doğan, and I. A. Aksay, Phonon and Magnetic Neutron Scattering at 41 meV in  $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_7$ , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 316 (1995).
- [12] G. Blumberg, B. P. Stojković, and M. V. Klein, Antiferromagnetic excitations and van Hove singularities in  $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ , *Phys. Rev. B* **52**, R15741(R) (1995).
- [13] A. D. Christianson, E. A. Goremychkin, R. Osborn, S. Rosenkranz, M. D. Lumsden, C. D. Malliakas, I. S. Todorov, H. Claus, D. Y. Chung, M. G. Kanatzidis, R. I. Bewley, and T. Guidi, Unconventional superconductivity in  $\text{Ba}_{0.6}\text{K}_{0.4}\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$  from inelastic neutron scattering, *Nature (London)* **456**, 930 (2008).
- [14] C. L. Zhang, M. Wang, H. Q. Luo, M. Y. Wang, M. S. Liu, J. Zhao, D. L. Abernathy, T. A. Maier, K. Marty, M. D. Lumsden, S. X. Chi, S. Chang, J. A. Rodriguez-Rivera, J. W. Lynn, T. Xiang, J. P. Hu, and P. C. Dai, Neutron scattering studies of spin excitations in hole-doped  $\text{Ba}_{0.67}\text{K}_{0.33}\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$  superconductor, *Sci. Rep.* **1**, 115 (2011).
- [15] P. Richard, T. Sato, K. Nakayama, S. Souma, T. Takahashi, Y. M. Xu, G. F. Chen, J. L. Luo, N. L. Wang, and H. Ding, Angle-Resolved Photoemission Spectroscopy of the Fe-Based  $\text{Ba}_{0.6}\text{K}_{0.4}\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$  High Temperature Superconductor: Evidence for an Orbital Selective Electron-Mode Coupling, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 047003 (2009).
- [16] L. Shan, J. Gong, Y. L. Wang, B. Shen, X. Y. Hou, C. Ren, C. H. Li, H. Yang, H.-H. Wen, S. L. Li, and P. C. Dai, Evidence of a Spin Resonance Mode in the Iron-Based Superconductor  $\text{Ba}_{0.6}\text{K}_{0.4}\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$  from Scanning Tunneling Spectroscopy, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 227002 (2012).
- [17] D. Wu, N. Barišić, M. Dressel, G. H. Cao, Z.-A. Xu, E. Schachinger, and J. P. Carbotte, Eliashberg analysis of optical spectra reveals a strong coupling of charge carriers to spin fluctuations in doped iron-pnictide  $\text{BaFe}_2\text{As}_2$  superconductors, *Phys. Rev. B* **82**, 144519 (2010).
- [18] R. M. Fernandes, A. V. Chubukov, and J. Schmalian, What drives nematic order in iron-based superconductors? *Nat. Phys.* **10**, 97 (2014).
- [19] V. K. Thorsmølle, M. Khodas, Z. P. Yin, C. L. Zhang, S. V. Carr, P. C. Dai, and G. Blumberg, Critical quadrupole fluctuations and collective modes in iron pnictide superconductors, *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 054515 (2016).
- [20] Y. Gallais, I. Paul, L. Chauviere, and J. Schmalian, Nematic Resonance in the Raman Response of Iron-Based Superconductors, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 017001 (2016).
- [21] F. Kretzschmar, B. Muschler, T. Böhm, A. Baum, R. Hackl, H. H. Wen, V. Tsurkan, J. Deisenhofer, and A. Loidl, Raman-Scattering Detection of Nearly Degenerate  $s$ -Wave and  $d$ -Wave Pairing Channels in Iron-Based  $\text{Ba}_{0.6}\text{K}_{0.4}\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$  and  $\text{Rb}_{0.8}\text{Fe}_{1.6}\text{Se}_2$  Superconductors, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 187002 (2013).
- [22] T. Böhm, A. F. Kemper, B. Moritz, F. Kretzschmar, B. Muschler, H.-M. Eiter, R. Hackl, T. P. Devereaux, D. J. Scalapino, and H. H. Wen, Balancing act: Evidence for a Strong Subdominant  $d$ -Wave Pairing Channel in  $\text{Ba}_{0.6}\text{K}_{0.4}\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$ , *Phys. Rev. X* **4**, 041046 (2014).
- [23] T. Böhm, R. Hosseinian Ahangharnejhad, D. Jost, A. Baum, B. Muschler, F. Kretzschmar, P. Adelman, T. Wolf, H. H. Wen, J. H. Chu, I. R. Fisher, and R. Hackl, Superconductivity and fluctuations in  $\text{Ba}_{1-p}\text{K}_p\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$  and  $\text{Ba}(\text{Fe}_{1-n}\text{Co}_n)_2\text{As}_2$ , *Phys. Status Solidi B* **254**, 1600308 (2016).
- [24] A. Bardasis and J. R. Schrieffer, Excitons and plasmons in superconductors, *Phys. Rev.* **121**, 1050 (1961).
- [25] T. Tsuneto, Transverse collective excitations in superconductors and electromagnetic absorption, *Phys. Rev.* **118**, 1029 (1960).
- [26] M. V. Klein and S. B. Dierker, Theory of Raman scattering in superconductors, *Phys. Rev. B* **29**, 4976 (1984).
- [27] M. V. Klein, Theory of Raman scattering from Leggett's collective mode in a multiband superconductor: Application to  $\text{MgB}_2$ , *Phys. Rev. B* **82**, 014507 (2010).
- [28] W. C. Lee, S. C. Zhang, and C. J. Wu, Pairing State with a Time-Reversal Symmetry Breaking in FeAs-Based Superconductors, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 217002 (2009).
- [29] S. Maiti and P. J. Hirschfeld, Collective modes in superconductors with competing  $s$ - and  $d$ -wave interactions, *Phys. Rev. B* **92**, 094506 (2015).
- [30] D. J. Scalapino and T. P. Devereaux, Collective  $d$ -wave exciton modes in the calculated raman spectrum of fe-based superconductors, *Phys. Rev. B* **80**, 140512 (2009).
- [31] S. Maiti, T. A. Maier, T. Boehm, R. Hackl, and P. J. Hirschfeld, Probing the Pairing Interaction and Multiple Bardasis-Schrieffer Modes Using Raman Spectroscopy, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 257001 (2016).
- [32] A. V. Chubukov, I. Eremin, and M. M. Korshunov, Theory of Raman response of a superconductor with extended  $s$ -wave symmetry: Application to the iron pnictides, *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 220501 (2009).
- [33] M. Khodas, A. V. Chubukov, and G. Blumberg, Collective modes in multiband superconductors: Raman scattering in iron selenides, *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 245134 (2014).
- [34] A. Hinojosa, J. S. Cai, and A. V. Chubukov, Raman resonance in iron-based superconductors: The magnetic scenario, *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 075106 (2016).
- [35] B. Shen, H. Yang, Z. S. Wang, F. Han, B. Zeng, L. Shan, C. Ren, and H. H. Wen, Transport properties and asymmetric scattering in  $\text{Ba}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$  single crystals, *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 184512 (2011).
- [36] T. P. Devereaux and R. Hackl, Inelastic light scattering from correlated electrons, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **79**, 175 (2007).
- [37] A. E. Böhrer, P. Burger, F. Hardy, T. Wolf, P. Schweiss, R. Fromknecht, M. Reinecker, W. Schranz, and C. Meingast, Nematic Susceptibility of Hole-Doped and Electron-Doped

- BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> Iron-Based Superconductors from Shear Modulus Measurements, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 047001 (2014).
- [38] M. Rahlenbeck, G. L. Sun, D. L. Sun, C. T. Lin, B. Keimer, and C. Ulrich, Phonon anomalies in pure and underdoped R<sub>1-x</sub>K<sub>x</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> (R=Ba, Sr) investigated by Raman light scattering, *Phys. Rev. B* **80**, 064509 (2009).
- [39] W. L. Zhang, P. Richard, H. Ding, A. S. Sefat, J. Gillett, S. E. Sebastian, M. Khodas, and G. Blumberg, On the origin of the electronic anisotropy in iron pnictide superconductors, [arXiv:1410.6452](https://arxiv.org/abs/1410.6452).
- [40] Y. Gallais, R. M. Fernandes, I. Paul, L. Chauvière, Y. X. Yang, M. A. Méasson, M. Cazayous, A. Sacuto, D. Colson, and A. Forget, Observation of Incipient Charge Nematicity in Ba(Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>)<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 267001 (2013).
- [41] F. Kretschmar, T. Bohm, U. Karahasanovic, B. Muschler, A. Baum, D. Jost, J. Schmalian, S. Caprara, M. Grilli, C. Di Castro, J. G. Analytis, J. H. Chu, I. R. Fisher, and R. Hackl, Critical spin fluctuations and the origin of nematic order in Ba(Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>)<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>, *Nat. Phys.* **12**, 560 (2016).
- [42] J. J. Ying, X. F. Wang, T. Wu, Z. J. Xiang, R. H. Liu, Y. J. Yan, A. F. Wang, M. Zhang, G. J. Ye, P. Cheng, J. P. Hu, and X. H. Chen, Measurements of the Anisotropic In-Plane Resistivity of Underdoped FeAs-Based Pnictide Superconductors, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 067001 (2011).
- [43] E. C. Blomberg, M. A. Tanatar, R. M. Fernandes, I. I. Mazin, B. Shen, H. H. Wen, M. D. Johannes, J. Schmalian, and R. Prozorov, Sign-reversal of the in-plane resistivity anisotropy in hole-doped iron pnictides, *Nat. Commun.* **4**, 1914 (2013).
- [44] S.-F. Wu, W.-L. Zhang, D. Hu, H.-H. Kung, A. Lee, H.-C. Mao, P.-C. Dai, H. Ding, P. Richard, and G. Blumberg, Collective excitations of dynamic Fermi surface deformations in BaFe<sub>2</sub>(As<sub>0.5</sub>P<sub>0.5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, [arXiv:1607.06575](https://arxiv.org/abs/1607.06575).
- [45] T. Goto, R. Kurihara, K. Araki, K. Mitsumoto, M. Akatsu, Y. Nemoto, S. Tatematsu, and M. Sato, Quadrupole effects of layered iron pnictide superconductor Ba(Fe<sub>0.9</sub>Co<sub>0.1</sub>)<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **80**, 073702 (2011).
- [46] R. M. Fernandes, L. H. VanBebber, S. Bhattacharya, P. Chandra, V. Keppens, D. Mandrus, M. A. McGuire, B. C. Sales, A. S. Sefat, and J. Schmalian, Effects of Nematic Fluctuations on the Elastic Properties of Iron Arsenide Superconductors, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 157003 (2010).
- [47] Y. M. Xu, P. Richard, K. Nakayama, T. Kawahara, Y. Sekiba, T. Qian, M. Neupane, S. Souma, T. Sato, T. Takahashi, H. Q. Luo, H. H. Wen, G. F. Chen, N. L. Wang, Z. Wang, Z. Fang, X. Dai, and H. Ding, Fermi surface dichotomy of the superconducting gap and pseudogap in underdoped pnictides, *Nat. Commun.* **2**, 394 (2011).
- [48] J. Gong, X. Y. Hou, J. Zhu, Y. Y. Jie, Y. D. Gu, B. Shen, C. Ren, C. H. Li, and L. Shan, Observation of mode-like features in tunneling spectra of iron-based superconductors, *Chin. Phys. B* **24**, 077402 (2015).
- [49] J. P. Castellán, S. Rosenkranz, E. A. Goremychkin, D. Y. Chung, I. S. Todorov, M. G. Kanatzidis, I. Eremin, J. Knolle, A. V. Chubukov, S. Maiti, M. R. Norman, F. Weber, H. Claus, T. Guidi, R. I. Bewley, and R. Osborn, Effect of Fermi Surface Nesting on Resonant Spin Excitations in Ba<sub>1-x</sub>K<sub>x</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 177003 (2011).
- [50] H. Ding, P. Richard, K. Nakayama, K. Sugawara, T. Arakane, Y. Sekiba, A. Takayama, S. Souma, T. Sato, T. Takahashi, Z. Wang, X. Dai, Z. Fang, G. F. Chen, J. L. Luo, and N. L. Wang, Observation of Fermi-surface-dependent nodeless superconducting gaps in Ba<sub>0.6</sub>K<sub>0.4</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>, *Europhys. Lett.* **83**, 47001 (2008).
- [51] L. Zhao, H. Y. Liu, W. T. Zhang, J. Q. Meng, X. W. Jia, G. D. Liu, X. L. Dong, G. F. Chen, J. L. Luo, N. L. Wang, W. Lu, G. L. Wang, Y. Zhou, Y. Zhu, X. Y. Wang, Z. Y. Xu, C. T. Chen, and X. J. Zhou, Multiple nodeless superconducting gaps in Ba<sub>0.6</sub>K<sub>0.4</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> superconductor from angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy, *Chin. Phys. Lett.* **25**, 4402 (2008).
- [52] K. Nakayama, T. Sato, P. Richard, Y. M. Xu, Y. Sekiba, S. Souma, G. F. Chen, J. L. Luo, N. L. Wang, H. Ding, and T. Takahashi, Superconducting gap symmetry of Ba<sub>0.6</sub>K<sub>0.4</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> studied by angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy, *Europhys. Lett.* **85**, 67002 (2009).
- [53] J.-X. Yin, Ang Li, X.-X. Wu, Jian Li, Zheng Wu, J.-H. Wang, C.-S. Ting, P.-H. Hor, X. J. Liang, C. L. Zhang, P. C. Dai, X. C. Wang, C. Q. Jin, G. F. Chen, J. P. Hu, Z.-Q. Wang, H. Ding, and S. H. Pan, Real-space orbital-selective probing of the cooper pairing in iron pnictides, [arXiv:1602.04949](https://arxiv.org/abs/1602.04949).
- [54] K. Nakayama, T. Sato, P. Richard, Y. M. Xu, T. Kawahara, K. Umezawa, T. Qian, M. Neupane, G. F. Chen, H. Ding, and T. Takahashi, Universality of superconducting gaps in overdoped Ba<sub>0.3</sub>K<sub>0.7</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> observed by angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy, *Phys. Rev. B* **83**, 020501 (2011).
- [55] C. H. Lee, K. Kihou, J. T. Park, K. Horigane, K. Fujita, F. Waßer, N. Qureshi, Y. Sidis, J. Akimitsu, and M. Braden, Suppression of spin-exciton state in hole overdoped iron-based superconductors, *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 23424 (2016).
- [56] Y. M. Xu, Y. B. Huang, X. Y. Cui, E. Razzoli, M. Radovic, M. Shi, G. F. Chen, P. Zheng, N. L. Wang, C. L. Zhang, P. C. Dai, J. P. Hu, Z. Wang, and H. Ding, Observation of a ubiquitous three-dimensional superconducting gap function in optimally doped Ba<sub>0.6</sub>K<sub>0.4</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>, *Nat. Phys.* **7**, 198 (2011).
- [57] G. Li, W. Z. Hu, J. Dong, Z. Li, P. Zheng, G. F. Chen, J. L. Luo, and N. L. Wang, Probing the Superconducting Energy Gap from Infrared Spectroscopy on a Ba<sub>0.6</sub>K<sub>0.4</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> Single Crystal with T<sub>c</sub> = 37 K, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 107004 (2008).
- [58] X. G. Luo, M. A. Tanatar, J. P. Reid, H. Shakeripour, N. Doiron-Leyraud, N. Ni, S. L. Bud'ko, P. C. Canfield, H. Q. Luo, Z. S. Wang, H. H. Wen, R. Prozorov, and L. Taillefer, Quasi-particle heat transport in single-crystalline Ba<sub>1-x</sub>K<sub>x</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>: Evidence for a k-dependent superconducting gap without nodes, *Phys. Rev. B* **80**, 140503 (2009).
- [59] A. E. Böhrmer, F. Hardy, L. Wang, T. Wolf, P. Schweiss, and C. Meingast, Superconductivity-induced re-entrance of the orthorhombic distortion in Ba<sub>1-x</sub>K<sub>x</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>, *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 7911 (2015).
- [60] J. M. Allred, S. Avci, D. Y. Chung, H. Claus, D. D. Khalyavin, P. Manuel, K. M. Taddei, M. G. Kanatzidis, S. Rosenkranz, R. Osborn, and O. Chmaissem, Tetragonal magnetic phase in Ba<sub>1-x</sub>K<sub>x</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> from x-ray and neutron diffraction, *Phys. Rev. B* **92**, 094515 (2015).
- [61] D. D. Khalyavin, S. W. Lovesey, P. Manuel, F. Krüger, S. Rosenkranz, J. M. Allred, O. Chmaissem, and R. Osborn, Symmetry of reentrant tetragonal phase in Ba<sub>1-x</sub>Na<sub>x</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>: Magnetic versus orbital ordering mechanism, *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 174511 (2014).
- [62] L. Wang, F. Hardy, A. E. Böhrmer, T. Wolf, P. Schweiss, and C. Meingast, Complex phase diagram of Ba<sub>1-x</sub>Na<sub>x</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>:

- A multitude of phases striving for the electronic entropy, *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 014514 (2016).
- [63] P. Zhang, P. Richard, T. Qian, X. Shi, J. Ma, L. K. Zeng, X. P. Wang, E. Rienks, C. L. Zhang, P. C Dai, Y. Z. You, Z. Y. Weng, X. X. Wu, J. P. Hu, and H. Ding, Observation of Momentum-Confining in-Gap Impurity State in  $\text{Ba}_{0.6}\text{K}_{0.4}\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$ : Evidence for Antiphase  $s_{\pm}$  Pairing, *Phys. Rev. X* **4**, 031001 (2014).
- [64] T. Shibauchi, A. Carrington, and Y. Matsuda, A quantum critical point lying beneath the superconducting dome in iron pnictides, *Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys.* **5**, 113 (2014).
- [65] H. Fukazawa, Y. Yamada, K. Kondo, T. Saito, Y. Kohori, K. Kuga, Y. Matsumoto, S. Nakatsuji, H. Kito, P. M. Shirage, K. Kihou, N. Takeshita, C. H. Lee, A. Iyo, and H. Eisaki, Possible multiple gap superconductivity with line nodes in heavily hole-doped superconductor  $\text{KFe}_2\text{As}_2$  studied by  $^{75}\text{As}$  nuclear quadrupole resonance and specific heat, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **78**, 083712 (2009).
- [66] K. Hashimoto, A. Serafin, S. Tonegawa, R. Katsumata, R. Okazaki, T. Saito, H. Fukazawa, Y. Kohori, K. Kihou, C. H. Lee, A. Iyo, H. Eisaki, H. Ikeda, Y. Matsuda, A. Carrington, and T. Shibauchi, Evidence for superconducting gap nodes in the zone-centered hole bands of  $\text{KFe}_2\text{As}_2$  from magnetic penetration-depth measurements, *Phys. Rev. B* **82**, 014526 (2010).
- [67] J. P. Reid, M. A. Tanatar, A. Juneau-Fecteau, R. T. Gordon, S. R. de Cotret, N. Doiron-Leyraud, T. Saito, H. Fukazawa, Y. Kohori, K. Kihou, C. H. Lee, A. Iyo, H. Eisaki, R. Prozorov, and L. Taillefer, Universal Heat Conduction in the Iron Arsenide Superconductor  $\text{KFe}_2\text{As}_2$ : Evidence of a  $d$ -Wave State, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 087001 (2012).
- [68] K. Okazaki, Y. Ota, Y. Kotani, W. Malaeb, Y. Ishida, T. Shimojima, T. Kiss, S. Watanabe, C.-T. Chen, K. Kihou, C. H. Lee, A. Iyo, H. Eisaki, T. Saito, H. Fukazawa, Y. Kohori, K. Hashimoto, T. Shibauchi, Y. Matsuda, H. Ikeda, H. Miyahara, R. Arita, A. Chainani, and S. Shin, Octet-line node structure of superconducting order parameter in  $\text{KFe}_2\text{As}_2$ , *Science* **337**, 1314 (2012).
- [69] S. Lederer, Y. Schattner, E. Berg, and S. A. Kivelson, Enhancement of Superconductivity Near a Nematic Quantum Critical Point, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 097001 (2015).
- [70] J. Kang and R. M. Fernandes, Superconductivity in FeSe Thin Films Driven by the Interplay Between Nematic Fluctuations and Spin-Orbit Coupling, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 217003 (2016).