Evolution of the resonance and incommensurate spin fluctuations in superconducting YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6+x}$
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Polarized and unpolarized neutron triple-axis spectrometry was used to study the dynamical magnetic susceptibility $\chi''(q, \omega)$ as a function of energy ($\hbar \omega$) and wave vector ($q$) in a wide temperature range for the bilayer superconductor YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6+x}$, with oxygen concentrations, $x$, of 0.45, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8, 0.93, and 0.95. The most prominent features in the magnetic spectra include a spin gap in the superconducting state, a pseudogap in the normal state, the much-discussed resonance, and incommensurate spin fluctuations below the resonance. We establish the doping dependence of the spin gap in the superconducting state, the resonance energy, and the incommensurability of the spin fluctuations. The magnitude of the spin gap ($E_{sg}$) up to the optimal doping is proportional to the superconducting transition temperature $T_c$, with $E_{sg}/k_B T_c = 3.8$. The resonance, which exists exclusively below $T_c$ for highly doped YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6+x}$ with $x = 0.93$ and 0.95, appears above $T_c$ for underdoped compounds with $x \approx 0.8$. The resonance energy ($E_r$) also scales with $k_B T_c$, but saturates at $E_r = 40$ meV for $x$ close to 0.93. The incommensurate spin fluctuations at energies below the resonance have structures similar to that of the single-layer superconducting La$_2$-$\delta$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$. However, there are also important differences. While the incommensurability ($\delta$) of the spin fluctuations in La$_2$-$\delta$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$ is proportional to $T_c$ for the entire hole-doping range up to the optimal value, the incommensurability in YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6+x}$ increases with $T_c$ for low-oxygen doping and saturates to $\delta = 0.1$ for $x \approx 0.6$. In addition, the incommensurability decreases with increasing energy close to the resonance. Finally, the incommensurate spin fluctuations appear above $T_c$ in underdoped compounds with $x \approx 0.6$ but for highly doped materials they are only observed below $T_c$. We discuss in detail the procedure used for separating the magnetic scattering from phonon and other spurious effects. In the comparison of our experimental results with various microscopic theoretical models, particular emphasis was made to address the similarities and differences in the spin fluctuations of the two most studied superconductors. Finally, we briefly mention recent magnetic-field-dependent studies of the spin fluctuations and discuss their relevance in understanding the microscopic origin of the resonance.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The parent compounds of the high-transition-temperature (high-$T_c$) copper-oxide superconductors are antiferromagnetic (AF) insulators characterized by a simple doubling of the crystallographic unit cell in the CuO$_2$ planes.\textsuperscript{1,2} When holes are doped into these planes, the long-range AF-ordered phase is destroyed and the lamellar copper-oxide materials become metallic and superconducting. This raises the fundamental question of the role of magnetism in the superconductivity of these materials. Over the past decade, experimental probes such as nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), muon spin resonance ($\mu$SR), and neutron scattering have been used to address this fundamental question. In particular, neutron-scattering experiments have unambiguously shown the presence of short-range AF spin correlations (fluctuations) in cuprate superconductors such as La$_{2-x}$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$ (Refs. 3–11) and YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6+x}$ (Refs. 12–27) at all doping levels, $x$. Even so, the role of such fluctuations in the pairing mechanism and superconductivity is still a subject of controversy.\textsuperscript{28} If spin fluctuations are important for the mechanism of high-$T_c$ superconductivity, they should be universal for all copper-oxide systems. For the La$_2$CuO$_4$ family of materials, which has a single CuO$_2$ layer per unit cell, superconductivity can be induced by the substitution of Sr, Ba, or Ca for La or by intercalation of excess oxygen. The low-energy spin fluctuations have been observed at a quartet of incommensurate wave vectors (Fig. 1) away from the AF Bragg positions, often referred to as $q_0 = (\pi, \pi)$, in the $x = 0$ compounds.\textsuperscript{11} These modulated low-frequency spin fluctuations persist in both the normal and superconducting states with a suppression of their intensity below $T_c$.\textsuperscript{9,10} As a function of doping, the incommensurability $\delta$ increases linearly with $x$ until saturating at $\delta = 1/8$ for $x \approx 1/8$ (Refs. 5,8,29). Furthermore, the incommensurability depends only on the doping level and the unbound charge introduced by the dopants, and not on the method for introducing the charge.\textsuperscript{11} As a function of increasing frequency, the incommensurability...
FIG. 1. The reciprocal space diagram and scattering geometry used in the experiments. Note that the scan direction for most of the measurements is along \([h,0.5,l]\). The structure of the incommensurate spin fluctuations is very similar to that of \(La_{2-x}SrCuO_4\) as shown by Mook et al.\(^{24}\) In this article, we define the separation between the incommensurate peaks as \(2\delta\) as shown in the figure. The shaded ellipse shows that the narrow part of the resolution volume is along the scan direction.

does not change,\(^6\) and no particularly sharp features have been identified.\(^{11}\) For \(YBa_2Cu_3O_{6+\delta}\), which has two CuO\(_2\) planes per unit cell (bilayer), the situation is more complex. Early measurements\(^{12,14,16-18}\) have shown that the most prominent feature in the spin fluctuations spectra in the highly doped \(YBa_2Cu_3O_{6+\delta}\) \((x \geq 0.9)\) is a sharp peak that appears below \(T_c\) at an energy of \(\sim 41\) meV. When scanned at fixed energy as a function of wave vector, the sharp peak is centered at \((\pi, \pi)\) and is generally referred to as a resonance. For underdoped \(YBa_2Cu_3O_{6+\delta}\), the resonance also appears at \((\pi, \pi)\) but with a reduced energy.\(^{19-21}\) In \(YBa_2Cu_3O_{6.6}\), it occurs at 34 meV and is superposed on a continuum that is gapped at low energies.\(^{27}\) Below the resonance frequency, the continuum is actually strongest at a quartet of incommensurate positions consistent with those in \(La_{2-x}SrCuO_4\) of the same hole doping (Fig. 1).\(^{22-24}\) This discovery along with similar observations in \(YBa_2Cu_3O_{6.7}\) (Ref. 25) suggests that incommensurate spin fluctuations may be universal to all high-\(T_c\) superconductors.

In this article, we report systematic unpolarized and polarized inelastic neutron scattering studies of spin fluctuations in \(YBa_2Cu_3O_{6+\delta}\). By carefully separating the magnetic signal from phonons and other spurious effects, we determine the wave vector and energy dependence of the spin dynamical susceptibility, \(\chi''(q, \omega)\), as a function of temperature and doping concentration. For \(YBa_2Cu_3O_{6+\delta}\) with oxygen concentrations at all doping levels addressed in this article, we observe a spin gap in the superconducting state, a commensurate resonance, and incommensurate spin fluctuations at energies below the resonance. The magnitude of the spin gap in the superconducting state \((E_{sg})\) is proportional to \(k_BT_c\) with \(E_{sg}/k_BT_c = 3.8\). The resonance appears above \(T_c\) for underdoped compounds but occurs exclusively below \(T_c\) for optimal and overdoped materials. The incommensurability of the excitations below the resonance decreases with increasing energy until merging into the resonance. For \(YBa_2Cu_3O_{6+\delta}\) with \(x \leq 0.6\), the incommensurability is approximately proportional to \(T_c\). For oxygen concentrations \(x\) above 0.6, the incommensurability is independent of hole doping and saturates at \(\delta = 1/10\). In the case of \(YBa_2Cu_3O_{6.8}\), the commensurate low-frequency normal-state magnetic excitations centered around \((\pi, \pi)\) are suppressed with the opening of a large spin gap in the superconducting state. For \(YBa_2Cu_3O_{6.93}\) and \(YBa_2Cu_3O_{6.95}\), the normal-state spin fluctuations are below the detection limit of current triple-axis spectroscopy, and the magnetic fluctuations in the superconducting state are dominated by the well-discussed resonance at \(\sim 40\) meV (Refs. 12,14 and 16–18) and incommensurate spin fluctuations below the resonance.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. The next section describes the experimental procedures, including details of sample characterization and spectrometer setup. In Sec. III A, polarized and unpolarized neutron scattering data for \(YBa_2Cu_3O_{6+\delta}\) with oxygen concentrations \(x\), of \(\sim 0.45, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8, 0.93,\) and 0.95 are presented with a detailed description of the procedures used to extract magnetic scattering. In Sec. III B, a comparison of the results with those for \(La_{2-x}SrCuO_4\) is presented. The issues of the doping dependence of the incommensurability, the spin gap in the superconducting state, the resonance in the normal state of underdoped materials, and resonance energy are addressed. Finally, the results presented in this paper and recent magnetic-field-dependence measurements of the spin fluctuations are discussed with the predictions and consequences of various theoretical models. Section IV gives a brief summary of the major conclusions of this work.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

A. Sample characterization

The experiments were performed on large twined crystals of \(YBa_2Cu_3O_{6+\delta}\) that were prepared by the melt-grown technique at the University of Washington. As emphasized in previous publications,\(^{14,19}\) these samples contain considerable amounts of \(Y_2BaCuO_5\) (Ref. 30) as an impurity. The structural and superconducting properties of these melt-grown \(YBa_2Cu_3O_{6+\delta}\) samples with different \(Y_2BaCuO_5\) concentrations have been studied in detail by Gopalan et al.\(^{31}\) These authors found that the \(YBa_2Cu_3O_{6+\delta}\) platelet width and crack width decrease with increasing \(Y_2BaCuO_5\) content. The reduced average \(YBa_2Cu_3O_{6+\delta}\) grain width may facilitate the formation of equilibrium crystal structures at various oxygen concentrations. In a recent study of oxygen equilibration and chemical diffusion in massive \(YBa_2Cu_3O_{6+\delta}\) crystals, Lindemer\(^{32}\) found that melt-grown samples containing a significant amount of \(Y_2BaCuO_5\) reached their equilibrium values of oxygen concentration in a dynamic flow of oxygen considerably faster than samples without this impurity. These results are consistent with the notion that oxygen invariant \(Y_2BaCuO_5\) microcracks, and the boundaries between twin grains are important in providing oxygen pathways through these large samples of \(YBa_2Cu_3O_{6+\delta}\).
For nonstoichiometric pure phase YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6+x}$, Linde-mer et al.\textsuperscript{33} have established the thermodynamic equilibrium phase diagram of oxygen concentration versus temperature and oxygen pressure ($T$–$p$). We oxygenate YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6+x}$ in a vacuum thermogravimetric apparatus (TGA) by annealing to the equilibrium weight ($\pm 0.1$ mg), equivalent to a sensitivity in 6–$x$ of 0.0003 per mol, at $T$–$p$[O$_2$] conditions for the desired oxygen doping following previously established models.\textsuperscript{33} Instead of quenching the sample after annealing, the partial pressure of oxygen in the TGA was adjusted during the cooling process to maintain a constant sample mass, thus ensuring uniformity in oxygen content and an equilibrium crystal structure. Seven single crystals of YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6+x}$, were oxygenated in this way to obtain $x$ of 0.45, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8, 0.93, and 0.95.

The superconducting properties of these YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6+x}$ crystals were characterized by a SQUID magnetometer. Susceptibility measurements made on small pieces cut from these samples show superconducting transition temperatures of $\sim 48 \pm 6$ K, $52 \pm 3$ K, $62.7 \pm 2.7$ K, $74 \pm 2.7$ K, $82 \pm 1.25$ K, and $92.5 \pm 0.5$ K, for YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6.45}$, YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6.5}$, YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6.6}$, YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6.7}$, YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6.8}$, and YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6.93}$, respectively. The transition temperature for YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6.95}$ of 92 K is estimated from the temperature dependence of the resonance.\textsuperscript{14,27} Although the widths of superconducting transition temperatures for YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6+x}$ with $x \geq 0.6$ are usually sharp ($< 3$ K), the transition widths for lower doping samples are considerably broader. This appears to be the intrinsic property of the underdoped YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6+x}$.\textsuperscript{33}

To quantitatively determine the effectiveness of this oxygenation technique and the composition of the melt-grown crystal, two pieces were cut from a 96-g sample of YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6.93}$. Sample A weighed approximately 15 g and was crushed into fine powder. Sample B weighed 14.98 g. Powder-diffraction measurements were performed on sample A at room temperature using the HB-4 high-resolution powder diffractometer with an incident beam wavelength of 1.0314 Å at the high-flux isotope reactor (HFIR) at Oak Ridge National Laboratory.\textsuperscript{34} Rietveld analysis of the powder data ($R_{wp} = 6.55\%$, $\chi^2 = 1.447$) revealed that the sample contains 83.6 mol % YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6+x}$, with the partial oxygen occupancies [O(1)] at 0.96 ± 0.03 and 16.4 mol % Y$_2$BaCuO$_3$. The lattice parameters for the YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6.93}$ [a = 3.81888(2) Å, b = 3.8856(2) Å, and c = 11.6920(5) Å] are slightly different from that of the YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6.95}$ sample reported by Jorgensen et al.\textsuperscript{35} but they agree well with those of the YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6+x}$ (0.93 $\leq x < 1$) crystals of Altendorf et al.\textsuperscript{36}

To further verify the partial oxygen content, sample B was subjected to independent thermogravimetric analysis. The 14.98-g specimen (B) was subjected to the deoxygenation study in the TGA. The weight change of B relative to the room-temperature weight was measured after equilibration at 573 K and at successively higher temperatures. The results were converted to 6–$x$ values and compared to the expected behavior.\textsuperscript{33} This analysis demonstrated that the initial oxygen content of B was 6.93 ± 0.005, thus confirming the effectiveness of our oxygenation technique.

In an unpublished work, Chakoumakos and Lindemer\textsuperscript{37} have systematically studied the lattice parameters of the powder YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6+x}$ oxygenated using this technique. They performed Rietveld analysis of the powder neutron diffraction data obtained on HB-4 for a series YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6+x}$ samples and established the relationship between oxygen concentration and lattice parameters (Fig. 2). High-resolution measurements on the single crystals oxygenated in our study indicated that the lattice parameters have virtually the same value as powders. As a consequence, we used the lattice parameters established in their powder work for our single-crystal inelastic neutron-scattering measurements.

### B. Neutron scattering

Inelastic neutron-scattering measurements were made at HFIR using the HB-1 and HB-3 triple-axis spectrometers. The momentum transfer ($q_x$, $q_y$, $q_z$) is measured in units of Å$^{-1}$ and reciprocal space positions are specified in reciprocal lattice units (rlu) $(h,k,l) = (q_x/2\pi,q_y/2\pi,q_z/2\pi)$, where $a$, $b$ ($=a$), and $c$ are the lattice parameters of the orthorhombic unit cell of YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6+x}$ (see Figs. 1 and 2). The magnetic neutron scattering directly measures the imaginary part of the generalized spin susceptibility $\chi''(\mathbf{q},\omega)$ for momentum transfer $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_0$ and energy transfer $\hbar \omega$, where $\mathbf{k}$ and $\mathbf{k}_0$ are the incident and final neutron wave vectors, respectively. The scattering cross section for an isotropic system\textsuperscript{38} is

$$
\frac{d^2\sigma}{d\Omega dE} = \frac{2}{\pi g^2} \frac{k_f}{k_i} r_0^2 |F(q)|^2 \\
\times \frac{1}{1 - \exp(-\hbar \omega/k_B T)} \chi''(\mathbf{q},\omega)/\mu_B^2,
$$

where $g$ is the Lande factor ($=2$), $r_0$ is $5.4 \times 10^{-13}$ cm, $|F(q)|$ is the magnetic form factor, $[n(\omega) + 1] = 1/1$
\[ -\exp(-\hbar \omega/k_BT) \] is the Bose population factor, and \( \mu_B \) is the Bohr magneton. The major difficulty in studying spin fluctuations in high-\( T_c \) materials is to separate the magnetic scattering from (single- and multi-) phonon scattering and other spurious processes. While many spurious events such as accidental Bragg scattering can be identified by performing the desired inelastic scan in the two-axis mode,\(^{13}\) two approaches are used to separate magnetic from phonon scattering.

We first describe the use of neutron polarization analysis\(^\text{39}\) which, in principle, can unambiguously separate magnetic from nonmagnetic processes. For the polarized experimental setup, a crystal of \(^{57}\)Fe(Si) \( d(110) = 2.0202 \) Å and crystals of Heusler alloy \( \text{Cu}_2\text{MnAl} \) \( d(111) = 3.4641 \) Å were used as a monochromator and analyzer, respectively. The polarization state of the neutron beam can be changed by a spin-flipping device (Mezei coil) that is placed in the incident beam before the sample. The combination of a \(^{57}\)Fe(Si) (110) monochromator and a Heusler (111) analyzer permits spin-flip (SF) measurements without using the Mezei coil because the polarization of the neutrons scattered by the \(^{57}\)Fe(Si) is along the applied field direction, while it is opposite for the Heusler crystal. For the measurements, the final neutron energy was fixed at 30.5 meV, and a pyrolytic graphite (PG) filter was used before the analyzer. The polarization is usually determined by measuring the flipping ratio \( R \), defined as the ratio of neutrons reflected by the analyzer with the flipper (Mezei coil) ‘on’ \( ^\text{40}\) which corresponds to neutron nonspin flip (NSF), or +1 and ‘off’ \( ^\text{40}\) [neutron spin-flip (SF) or +1]. Assuming that the flipping ratios for guide field parallel (horizontal field or HF) and perpendicular (vertical field or VF) to the wave vector \( \mathbf{q} \) are \( R_H \) and \( R_V \), respectively, the observed neutron cross sections for a paramagnetic system are given by\(^\text{39,40}\)

**HF:** 
\[
\sigma_{ob}^{+} = \frac{R_H}{R_H + 1}\left(M + \frac{2}{3}\text{NSI} + \text{BG}\right) \\
+ \frac{1}{R_H + 1}\left(N + \frac{1}{3}\text{NSI} + \text{BG}\right),
\]

\[
\sigma_{ob}^{-} = \frac{R_H}{R_H + 1}\left(N + \frac{1}{3}\text{NSI} + \text{BG}\right) \\
+ \frac{1}{R_H + 1}\left(M + \frac{2}{3}\text{NSI} + \text{BG}\right),
\]

**VF:** 
\[
\sigma_{ob}^{+} = \frac{R_V}{R_V + 1}\left(M + \frac{2}{3}\text{NSI} + \text{BG}\right) \\
+ \frac{1}{R_V + 1}\left(N + \frac{1}{2}M + \frac{1}{3}\text{NSI} + \text{BG}\right),
\]

\[
\sigma_{ob}^{-} = \frac{R_V}{R_V + 1}\left(N + \frac{1}{3}\text{NSI} + \text{BG}\right) \\
+ \frac{1}{R_V + 1}\left(M + \frac{2}{3}\text{NSI} + \text{BG}\right),
\]

where \( M \) is the magnetic, \( N \) is the nuclear, NSI is the nuclear spin incoherent neutron cross section, and \( \text{BG} \) is the background. Inspection of Eq. (2.2) reveals that the most effective way to detect a magnetic signal is to measure SF scattering with HF. Although such a method has been successfully used to identify the magnetic origin of resonance peaks in \( \text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x} \),\(^\text{14,18-20}\) the advantage of the technique comes at a considerable cost in intensity, which makes it impractical for observing small magnetic signals.

As a consequence, most of our experiments were performed with unpolarized neutrons with Be (002) or PG (002) as monochromators as specified in the figure captions. In these experiments, we also used PG (002) as analyzers. Fast neutrons were removed from the incident beam by an in-pile sapphire filter, and PG filters were used to remove high-order neutron contaminations where appropriate. The horizontal collimations were controlled with Soller slits and are specified in the figures containing the experimental data. To separate the magnetic from phonon scattering, we utilize the differences in their temperature and wave-vector dependence of the cross sections. While the phonon scattering gains intensity on warming due to the thermal population factor, the magnetic signal usually becomes weaker because it spreads throughout the energy and momentum space at high temperatures. In addition, magnetic intensity should decrease at large wave vectors due to the reduction in the magnetic form factor while phonon and multiphonon scattering are expected to increase with increasing wave vector. Therefore, in an unpolarized neutron-scattering measurement the net intensity gain above the multiphonon background on cooling at appropriate wave vectors is likely to be magnetic in origin. Previous experiments have utilized this method to discover incommensurate spin fluctuations in \( \text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+\delta} \).\(^\text{22}\)

Figure 1 depicts the reciprocal lattice of the \( \text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+\delta} \) \( \mathbf{a}^* = (2\pi/a) \), \( \mathbf{b}^* = (2\pi/b) \) directions shown in square lattice notation. Our crystals are all highly twinned so we cannot distinguish \( \mathbf{a}^* \) from \( \mathbf{b}^* \). Because the CuO\(_2\) planes in \( \text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+\delta} \) actually appear in coupled bilayers that are separated by a much larger distance between the bilayers, spin fluctuations that are in phase (acoustic or \( \chi''g \)) or out of phase (optical or \( \chi''op \)) with respect to the neighboring plane will have different spectra.\(^\text{12-14}\) It is therefore convenient to separate the magnetic response of \( \text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+\delta} \) into two parts \( \chi''g(q_x,q_y,q_z,\omega) = \chi''g(q_x,q_y,\omega)\sin^2(q_yd/2) + \chi''op(q_x,q_y,\omega)\cos^2(q_yd/2) \), where \( d \) (3.342 Å) is the spacing between the nearest-neighbor CuO\(_2\) planes along \( c \). For the AF insulating parent compound \( \text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_6 \), \( \chi''g \) and \( \chi''op \) correspond to the acoustic and optical spin-wave excitations, respectively.\(^\text{31,42}\) Because the interesting features such as the resonance and incommensurate fluctuations\(^\text{12-27}\) are only observed in the acoustic channel of spin susceptibility \( \chi''g(q_x,q_y,\omega) \) and the optical fluctuations occur at higher energies than the acoustic excitations, we focused on the temperature, frequency, and composition dependence of spin excitations in the acoustic mode that has a modulation \( \sin^2(q_yd/2) \) along the \( c \) axis.

For this purpose, we used several crystal orientations and scattering geometries. Previous work by different groups\(^\text{12-27}\)
were focused on the behavior of magnetic excitations for $q$ in the $(h,h,l)$ and/or $(h,3h,l)$ zone. In view of the fact that the low-frequency spin fluctuations in $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ peak at a quartet of incommensurate positions $\delta$ rlu away from $(1/2,1/2)$, $(\pi,\pi)$, as depicted in Fig. 1,\textsuperscript{24} we scanned along the arrow direction in Fig. 1 from $(0,1/2)$ to $(1,1/2)$ with fixed $l$. To perform these scans, we first aligned the crystal with its $[1,0,0]$ and $[0,0,1]$ axes along the rotational axes of the lower ($\alpha$ axis) and upper ($\chi$ axis) arcs of the spectrometer goniometer, respectively. The crystal was then rotated $\alpha=\arctan(c/2a)$ degrees such that after the rotation the $[1/2,0,0]$ and $[0,1/2,l]$ axes were in the horizontal scattering plane. To access the maxima of acoustic spin fluctuations, we chose $l\approx 2$ or $5$ rlu so the $\omega$ rotation angle would be approximately $37$ or $17$ deg, respectively. One advantage of performing scans in this manner is that the scan direction is along the direction of incommensurate peaks and the narrow part of the resolution ellipse. As shown in Fig. 1, the narrow part of the spectrometer resolution matches the separation of the incommensurate peaks. Therefore, this is a favorable geometry to study the evolution of the incommensurate magnetic excitations. In addition to the new experimental geometry, we also used the conventional $(h,3h,l)$ zone as specified in the figure captions.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Wave-vector and energy dependence of the acoustic spin fluctuations

We begin this section by noting that inelastic neutron-scattering experiments over the last decade\textsuperscript{12–27} have provided valuable information about the evolution of the spin dynamics in $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$. The key features of the spin excitations spectra include a gap in the superconducting state, a pseudogap in the normal state, the resonance peak, and the incommensurate fluctuations below the resonance. The observation of remarkable similarities in spin incommensurate structure for the bilayer $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+\delta}$ (Ref. 24) and the single-layer $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$ of the same hole-doping density\textsuperscript{8} suggests that incommensurate magnetic fluctuations may be a universal feature of the cuprate superconductors. For the $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$ system, Yamada et al. established the Sr-doping dependence of the incommensurability $\delta$ of the spin fluctuations and found a remarkable linear relationship between $T_c$ and $\delta$\textsuperscript{8}. In the case of YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6+x}$, Balatsky and Bourges\textsuperscript{43} suggested that a similar linear relationship exists between $T_c$ and the width in momentum space $(q)$ of the ‘‘normal’’ spin fluctuations, i.e., fluctuations at frequencies away from the resonance. The authors argued that spin excitations of YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6+x}$ have two components and the low-energy incommensurate fluctuations are different from the commensurate resonance. The conclusions of Ref. 43 were reached based mostly on data where explicit incommensurability in the low-frequency spin fluctuations was not observed. Therefore, it is still not clear whether the linear relationship between $T_c$ and $\delta$ is a universal feature of the cuprates. Below, we present our systematic investigation of spin excitations in YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6+x}$.

FIG. 3. The spin-flip scattering of YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{4.45}$ in the polarized scans along $(H,3H,−1.7)$ direction with $h\omega=10$ meV (a), $15$ meV (b), and $24$ meV (c) at $11$ K and $60$ K. The nonspin-flip scattering of the same scans at $h\omega=10$ meV, $15$ meV, and $24$ meV are shown in (d), (e), and (f), respectively. The solid and dashed lines in (a)–(c) are Gaussian fits to the data on linear backgrounds. The solid lines in (e) and (f) are guides to the eye.

1. YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{4.45}$

As stressed in Sec. II B, it is not a trivial matter to separate the magnetic scattering from phonon and other spurious processes in metallic crystals of YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6+\delta}$. Although the use of polarized neutrons can provide an unambiguous measure of the magnetic and phonon scattering,\textsuperscript{39} the technique usually suffers from the limited flux available with polarized neutrons. Nevertheless, polarization analysis has distinctive advantages in separating the magnetic signal from phonon and other spurious processes. As an example, we show in Fig. 3 the polarization analysis of the spin excitations for YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{4.45}$ at temperatures above and below $T_c$.

Because magnetic fluctuations in YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6+\delta}$ are centered around $(\pi,\pi)$ with a sinusoidal modulation along the $(0,0,l)$ direction, we oriented the crystal in the $(h,3h,l)$ zone and searched for the magnetic signal with scans along $(h,3h,−1.7)$ that corresponds to the maximum intensity of the acoustic modulation. Figures 3(a)–3(c) show the raw data of SF scattering for $h\omega=10$, $15$, and $24$ meV at $60$ and $11$ K. The NSF scattering of the same scans are shown in Figs. 3(d)–3(f). While SF scattering clearly peaks around $(\pi,\pi)$, there are no similar features in the NSF scattering at the same position. Therefore, it is clear that the scattering around $(\pi,\pi)$ in the SF scattering are magnetic in origin. To quantitatively parametrize the SF scattering data, we used a Gaussian line shape on a linear background to fit the data, as shown by solid lines in Figs. 3(a)–3(c).\textsuperscript{12–21} The scattering function $S(q,\omega)$ for such a line shape is related to the in-plane dynamical spin susceptibility $\chi''_\alpha(q_x,q_y,\omega)$ by
where $D \sim$ partially compensated by the loss at energies above it @ the superconducting state weight of the resonance increases slightly from the normal to as the precursor of the resonance, we find that the spectral Figure 4 shows the constant-\(q\) scans at various temperatures with phonons marked by arrows. (b) The temperature difference between 60 K (\(Tc + 12\) K) and 120 K. The positive scattering around 30 meV is consistent with the precursor of the resonance in the normal state. (c) The temperature difference between 11 K (\(Tc - 37\) K) and 60 K. The solid lines are Gaussian fits to the data. The resonance intensity gain at 11 K is partially compensated by the loss of the spectral weight at energies above it.

\[
S(q, \omega) = I(\omega) \sin^2(q_d d/2) e^{\Delta q^2/2s^2},
\]

where $\Delta q = 2 \pi (h - 1/2, 3h - 3/2)/a$, $I(\omega)$ is the peak susceptibility and $\sigma$ is related to the half-width at half maximum (HWHM) of the excitations via HWHM = $\sqrt{2\ln2}$.

Although polarization analysis is excellent in identifying the magnetic nature of Gaussian peaks around (\(\pi, \pi\)), the limited flux available with the technique means that detailed wave-vector and energy dependence of the scattering need to be obtained with unpolarized neutrons. For this purpose, we realigned the sample in the \([1/2, 0, 0]\) and \([0, 1/2, 1]\) zone. Figure 4 shows the constant-\(q\) scans at various temperatures at (\(\pi, \pi\)). The spectra consist of two major peaks at \(\sim 19\) and 31 meV. While the intensity of the \(\sim 19\)-meV mode follows the Bose population factor \(n(\omega) + 1\) consistent with phonons, the scattering at \(\sim 30\) meV decreases with warming, which is indicative of its magnetic origin. As we shall demonstrate below, phonons are also present at \(\sim 31\) meV. However, phonon scattering in this energy range is expected to change negligibly with temperature for \(T \leq 120\) K. Therefore, the difference spectra in Fig. 4 can be regarded as changes in the dynamical susceptibility. Clearly, there are spectral weight enhancements around 30 meV with decreasing temperature above and below \(Tc\). If we define the susceptibility gain on cooling above \(Tc\) at the resonance energy as the precursor of the resonance, we find that the spectral weight of the resonance increases slightly from the normal to the superconducting state [Figs. 4(b) and 4(c)] and is only partially compensated by the loss at energies above it [Fig. 4(c)].

FIG. 4. The unpolarized constant-\(q\) scans at (0.5,0.5,5) rlu for YBa\(_2\)Cu\(_3\)O\(_{6.45}\). Data were taken with a PG(002) monochromator and PG analyzer with 40'-40'-60'-120' collimation and \(E_f = 14.78\) meV. (a) Raw scattering at three different temperatures with phonons marked by arrows. (b) The temperature difference between 60 K (\(Tc + 12\) K) and 120 K. The positive scattering around 30 meV is consistent with the precursor of the resonance in the normal state. (c) The temperature difference between 11 K (\(Tc - 37\) K) and 60 K. The solid lines are Gaussian fits to the data. The resonance intensity gain at 11 K is partially compensated by the loss of the spectral weight at energies above it.

\[
S(q, \omega) = I(\omega) \sin^2(q_d d/2) e^{\Delta q^2/2s^2},
\]

where $\Delta q = 2 \pi (h - 1/2, 3h - 3/2)/a$, $I(\omega)$ is the peak susceptibility and $\sigma$ is related to the half-width at half maximum (HWHM) of the excitations via HWHM = $\sqrt{2\ln2}$.

Although polarization analysis is excellent in identifying the magnetic nature of Gaussian peaks around (\(\pi, \pi\)), the limited flux available with the technique means that detailed wave-vector and energy dependence of the scattering need to be obtained with unpolarized neutrons. For this purpose, we realigned the sample in the \([1/2, 0, 0]\) and \([0, 1/2, 1]\) zone. Figure 4 shows the constant-\(q\) scans at various temperatures at (\(\pi, \pi\)). The spectra consist of two major peaks at \(\sim 19\) and 31 meV. While the intensity of the \(\sim 19\)-meV mode follows the Bose population factor \(n(\omega) + 1\) consistent with phonons, the scattering at \(\sim 30\) meV decreases with warming, which is indicative of its magnetic origin. As we shall demonstrate below, phonons are also present at \(\sim 31\) meV. However, phonon scattering in this energy range is expected to change negligibly with temperature for \(T \leq 120\) K. Therefore, the difference spectra in Fig. 4 can be regarded as changes in the dynamical susceptibility. Clearly, there are spectral weight enhancements around 30 meV with decreasing temperature above and below \(Tc\). If we define the susceptibility gain on cooling above \(Tc\) at the resonance energy as the precursor of the resonance, we find that the spectral weight of the resonance increases slightly from the normal to the superconducting state [Figs. 4(b) and 4(c)] and is only partially compensated by the loss at energies above it [Fig. 4(c)].

FIG. 5. Constant-energy scans along the \([h, 0.5, 2.4]\) (a)–(c) and \([h, 0.5, 5.2]\) (d)–(f) directions. The energy transfers are \(h \omega = 6\) meV (a), 10 meV (b), 16 meV (c), 24 meV (d), 30 meV (e), and 38 meV (f). Incommensurate spin fluctuations are clearly observed at \(h \omega = 24\) meV both above and below \(Tc\). In the superconducting state, the flattish top profiles are observed at energies above and below the resonance energy. Solid lines are Gaussian fits to the data in (b)–(f).

Figure 5 shows the momentum dependence of the response at energies above and below the magnetic resonance. At \(h \omega = 6\) meV, the scattering [Fig. 5(a)] is featureless around (\(\pi, \pi\)), suggesting the presence of a spin gap in the superconducting state. On increasing the energy to 10 meV, the scattering shows a broad peak that can be well described by a single Gaussian centered at (\(\pi, \pi\)). At \(h \omega = 16\) meV, the profile around (\(\pi, \pi\)) develops a flattish top similar to previous observations. Although detailed analysis suggests that the profile is better described by a pair of peaks rather than a single Gaussian, well-defined incommensurate peaks at \(\delta = 0.054 \pm 0.004\) rlu from (\(\pi, \pi\)) are only observed at \(h \omega = 24\) meV [Fig. 5(d)]. At the resonance energy of \(\sim 30\) meV, commensurate profiles are observed above and below \(Tc\) [Fig. 5(e)]. For an energy above the resonance (at 38 meV), the peak appears to be commensurate in the normal state, but changes to a flat top (incommensurate) profile in the low-temperature superconducting state [Fig. 5(f)].

2. YBa\(_2\)Cu\(_3\)O\(_{6.5}\), YBa\(_2\)Cu\(_3\)O\(_{6.6}\), and YBa\(_2\)Cu\(_3\)O\(_{6.7}\)

In this section, we discuss results on three samples with superconducting transition temperatures of 52 K, 62.7 K, and 74 K. The fractional hole concentration per Cu atom in the CuO\(_2\) sheet, \(p\), for YBa\(_2\)Cu\(_3\)O\(_{6.5}\) around the 60-K plateau phase is close to \(\sim 0.1\). For the single-layer superconducting La\(_{1.9}\)Sr\(_{0.1}\)CuO\(_4\), which also has \(p = 0.1\), the incommensurability of the spin fluctuations is at \(\delta = 0.1\). The discovery of the same incommensurate structure and \(\delta (\sim 0.1)\) for
the equivalent hole concentration ($p \approx 0.1$) of the bilayer \(\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.5}\) (Ref. 24) has generated considerable interests because such observation suggests that incommensurate spin fluctuations may be the common feature of these two most studied families of cuprates.

For \(\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4\), it is generally believed that the presence of dynamic stripes is the microscopic origin of the observed incommensurate spin fluctuations.\(^{46}\) Thus, if the incommensurate spin fluctuations in \(\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.5}\) have similar behavior as \(\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4\) at all doping concentrations, it is likely that dynamic stripes should also be present in the bilayer cuprates. Although the explicit incommensurate structure\(^{47}\) and the one-dimensional nature of the incommensurate spin fluctuations in \(\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.5}\) (Ref. 47) favor the dynamic striped-phase interpretation, the detailed energy and doping dependence of the incommensurate spin fluctuations is still lacking. In the discussions below, we attempt to remedy this situation.

We first describe measurements on \(\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.5}\). Although the rocking curves of all other crystals of \(\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}\) show single Gaussian peaks with mosaic spreads of \(\sim 1-2.5\) deg, the rocking curve around \((0,0.6)\) Bragg reflection for \(\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.5}\) displays two distinct peaks separated by \(\sim 3\) degrees. This means that the \(\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.5}\) sample is composed of two major grains \(\sim 3\) deg apart. Figure 6 summarizes constant-\(q\) scans at various temperatures at \((\pi, \pi)\) for \(\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.5}\). While the \(\sim 20\)-meV phonons change negligibly from those for \(\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.45}\) (Fig. 4), there are two features in the raw spectra of Fig. 6(a) worth noting. First, the resonance energy shifted from \(\sim 30.5\) meV for \(\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.45}\) to \(\sim 32\) meV for \(\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.5}\). In addition, the spectral weight of the resonance relative to that of the 20-meV phonons is clearly enhanced in \(\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.5}\). This observation indicates that the intensity gain of the resonance in this material below \(T_c\) is considerably bigger than that for \(\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.45}\). Similar to \(\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.45}\), there are magnetic spectral weight enhancements around the resonance energy above and below \(T_c\). High-intensity, low-resolution measurements in the \([h,3h,l]\) zone confirm this conclusion (Fig. 7).

To determine the magnitude of the spin gap and search for incommensurate spin fluctuations, we performed constant-energy scans at various energies along the \([h,0.5,5]\) direction above and below \(T_c\) as shown in Fig. 8. At 10 and 16 meV, the scattering shows weak features around \((\pi, \pi)\). This is different from \(\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.45}\) where spin fluctuations centered around \((\pi, \pi)\) are found at energies above 10 meV (Figs. 3 and 5). At 24 meV (Fig. 8(c)), the scattering displays a flattish top in both the normal and superconducting states.\(^{48}\) At energies near resonance [27 meV, Fig. 8(d)] and at the resonance [31.5 meV, Fig. 8(e)], the scattering narrows in width and increases in intensity. For an energy above the resonance, flattish top profiles indicative of incommensurate fluctuations are observed at 40 meV as shown in Fig. 8(f), which is similar to Fig. 5(f) of \(\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.45}\).

Next we describe measurements on \(\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.6}\) where the incommensurate spin fluctuations at 24 meV and the resonance at 34 meV have been extensively studied.\(^{19,22-24,27}\) For completeness, we plot in Fig. 9 the difference spectra of constant-\(q\) scans showing the presence of the 34-meV resonance above and below \(T_c\). Detailed temperature evolution of the resonance and the magnitude of the spin gap has already been reported.\(^{27}\) To establish the energy dependence of the incommensurate spin fluctuations, we carried out high-resolution measurements using Be(002) as the monochromator and fixed the final neutron energy at 14.78 meV. Figure 10 shows a series of constant-energy scans at energies below and above the 34-meV resonance. Consistent with previous results,\(^{22-24}\) constant-energy scans at 24 meV [Fig. 10(a)] show a pair of well-defined peaks separated by \(2\delta\)
0.20±0.01 rlu in the low-temperature superconducting state and a flattish top profile just above \( T_c \). Although the same scans at 27 meV and 30 meV also exhibit the same double peak structure below \( T_c \) [Figs. 10(b) and 10(c)], the separation between the peaks or the incommensurabilities clearly decreases with increasing energy. In addition, the normal-state flat top profile at 24 meV is replaced by a single Gaussian peak centered around \((p, p)\) at 30 meV. As energy increases, the scattering profile continues to sharpen, with the narrowest width and highest intensity profile occurring at the resonance energy [Fig. 10(e)]. When moving to energies above the resonance [Figs. 10(f)–10(h)], the profiles increase in width and decrease in intensity. At 41 meV, the scattering becomes incommensurate in both the normal and superconducting states [Fig. 10(h)].

Finally, we discuss measurements for \( \text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.7} \). Figure 11 shows the result of constant-\( q \) scans above and below \( T_c \). As the energy of the resonance moves up to 37 meV, the phonon scattering at 31 meV becomes more prominent. Constant-energy scans at several energies below the resonance are shown in Fig. 12. In contrast to the well-defined double peaks for \( \text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.8} \) [Fig. 10(a)], the scattering at 24 meV and 27 meV [Figs. 12(a) and 12(b)] shows a very weak structure above and below \( T_c \). For \( \text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.6} \), the
susceptibility increases on cooling from the normal to the superconducting state at the incommensurate positions, accompanied by a suppression of fluctuations at the commensurate point. In an attempt to determine whether the same is true for YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6.7}$, we plot the difference spectra between 11 K and 80 K. As emphasized in an earlier study, these difference spectra can be simply regarded as changes in the dynamical susceptibility, i.e., \( x(11 \text{ K}) - x(80 \text{ K}) \).

Figures 12a and 12b show the outcome of this subtraction for 24 meV and 27 meV, respectively. For \( \varepsilon = 24 \text{ meV} \), the difference spectrum shows negative scattering centered around \((\pi, \pi)\) with no evidence of susceptibility gain at the expected incommensurate positions. This is consistent with the opening of a 24-meV gap in the spin fluctuations spectra below \( T_c \). At \( \varepsilon = 27 \text{ meV} \), both the raw data [Fig. 12(b)] and the difference spectrum show evidence of incommensurate spin fluctuations at \( \delta = 0.1 \) rlu, consistent with previous measurements using an integrated technique that gives an incommensurability of \( \delta = 0.11 \pm 0.01 \). However, the clarity of incommensurate spin fluctuations is weak as compared to that for YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6.6}$.

Experiments on a different crystal of YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6.7}$ by Arai et al. show clear incommensurate spin fluctuations at \( \delta = 0.11 \pm 0.01 \) rlu. At energies close to resonance (\( \varepsilon = 34 \text{ meV} \) and 37 meV), the scattering [Figs. 12c and 12f] shows conventional Gaussian profiles.

3. YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6.8}$

Much of the discussion in this section will be focused on our analysis of separating phonon and spurious events from magnetic scattering for energies below the resonance. While the magnetic signal usually spreads throughout the energy and momentum space at high temperatures and becomes unobservable at any particular \( \hbar \omega \) point, the intensity of phonons should follow the Bose statistics with increasing temperature. Therefore, careful measurements as a function of temperature and wave vector should allow the distinction of magnetic scattering from phonons.

As an example, we show in Fig. 13 raw constant-\( q \) scans...
at the wave vector \( \mathbf{q} = (0.5,0.5,5) \) rlu at various temperatures. The comparison of the results for temperatures above and below \( T_c \) [Fig. 13(b)] indicates a clear enhancement of the scattering at \( \hbar \omega = 40 \) meV, consistent with the presence of the resonance in the normal state. (b) The temperature difference between 11 K \( (T_c - 71 \) K) and 95 K. The solid line is a Gaussian fit to the data.

FIG. 14. Difference spectra in constant-\( q \) scans at \( (0.5,0.5,5) \) rlu above and below \( T_c \) for \( \text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.8} \). (a) The temperature difference between 95 K \( (T_c + 13 \) K) and 200 K. The positive scattering around 40 meV is consistent with the precursor of the resonance in the normal state. (b) The temperature difference between 11 K \( (T_c - 71 \) K) and 95 K. The solid line is a Gaussian fit to the data.

FIG. 15. Difference spectra in constant-\( q \) scans at \( (0.5,1.5,1.8) \) rlu above and below \( T_c \) for \( \text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.8} \) with the sample in the \( (h,3h,l) \) zone. (a) The temperature difference between 95 K \( (T_c + 13 \) K) and 150 K. The positive scattering around 40 meV again confirms the presence of the precursor for the resonance in the normal state. (b) The temperature difference between 11 K \( (T_c - 71 \) K) and 95 K. The solid line is a Gaussian fit to the data.

To search for incommensurate spin fluctuations in \( \text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.8} \), we scanned along the wave vector \( [h,0.5,5.0] \) direction at energies below and above the resonance. Figure 16 summarizes the low-resolution raw data at temperatures just above and well below \( T_c \). At \( \hbar \omega = 16 \) meV [Fig. 16(a)], the scattering shows a sloped background with minimum intensity at around \( (\pi,\pi) \) in both the normal and superconducting states. This suggests the existence of a normal-state spin gap of 16 meV. On increasing the energy to \( \hbar \omega = 24 \) meV [Fig. 16(b)], the intensity of the broad peak around \( (\pi,\pi) \) in the normal state is somewhat reduced below \( T_c \) with no clear evidence of an incommensurate structure. A similar behavior is also observed at \( \hbar \omega = 28 \) meV [Figs. 16(c)]. The scattering profiles between the normal and superconducting states are almost indistinguishable at \( \hbar \omega = 32 \) meV.

FIG. 16. Raw constant-energy scans along the \( [h,0.5,5.0] \) direction for \( \text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.8} \) in the low-resolution measurements with \( E_f = 30.5 \) meV. The energy transfers are \( \hbar \omega = 16 \) meV (a), 24 meV (b), 28 meV (c), 32 meV (d), 39 meV (e), and 52 meV (f). The resonance is clearly seen at \( \hbar \omega = 39 \) meV.
meV and 52 meV [Figs. 16(d) and 16(f)]. Near the resonance energy [Fig. 16(e)], the scattering can be well described by Gaussians on linear backgrounds and shows a clear increase in intensity on entering the superconducting state from the normal state. In addition, the scattering at 200 K (open squares) shows a broad peak around ($\pi, \pi$), which indicates weak magnetic scattering at this temperature.

Since the scattering at 200 K is mostly nonmagnetic for energies below 39 meV [Fig. 16(e)], we systematically subtracted 200-K data from that obtained at lower temperatures. Figures 17(c)–17(f) show the outcome of such procedure, and the net intensity gain around ($\pi, \pi$) above the general reduction in the multiphonon background should represent the enhancement of magnetic scattering at low temperatures. For $h\omega = 28$ meV [Fig. 17(c)], the broad peak in the normal state vanishes below $T_c$, which suggests the enlargement of the normal-state spin gap in the superconducting state. On increasing the energy to $h\omega = 32$ meV, the scattering shows little change from the normal state to the superconducting state [Fig. 17(d)]. In addition, the maximum scattering intensity no longer seems to peak at ($\pi, \pi$), but shows weak evidence for incommensurate scattering. Unfortunately, the poor resolution of the measurements does not allow a conclusive identification of incommensurate spin fluctuations. At $h\omega = 39$ meV [Fig. 17(e)], the enhancement of resonance in Fig. 16(e) is confirmed. Finally, for an energy above the resonance ($h\omega = 52$ meV), the scattering shows no observable change above and below $T_c$ [Fig. 17(f)].

Because the intensity of low-energy phonons is more sensitive to the increasing temperature and the Bose population factor, the subtraction procedure described above does not work for low-energy excitations. However, we can compare the difference spectra at temperatures just above and below $T_c$. At $h\omega = 16$ meV [Fig. 17(a)], the difference spectra between 95 K ($T_c + 13$ K) and 10 K ($<T_c$) show constant-energy scans at $16$ meV. The weak structures in (a) and (b) mark 11 K by the arrows are nonmagnetic scattering. (d) The difference spectrum for $h\omega = 24$ meV between 90 K and 11 K shows a Gaussian profile in the normal state, consistent with Fig. 17(b). (e) and (f) demonstrate the opening of the spin gap from the normal to the superconducting state at $h\omega = 30$ meV. No evidence for incommensurate spin fluctuations is found at $h\omega = 30$ meV. The solid lines are Gaussians fits to the data and guides to the eye.

FIG. 18. High-resolution constant-energy scans along the [h,0,5,5.2] direction for YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6.8}$. The energy transfers are $h\omega = 24$ meV (a), 30 meV (b), and 39 meV (c). The weak structures in (a) and (b) at 11 K marked by the arrows are nonmagnetic scattering. (d) The difference spectrum for $h\omega = 24$ meV between 90 K and 11 K shows a Gaussian profile in the normal state, consistent with Fig. 17(b). (e) and (f) demonstrate the opening of the spin gap from the normal to the superconducting state at $h\omega = 30$ meV. No evidence for incommensurate spin fluctuations is found at $h\omega = 30$ meV. The solid lines are Gaussians fits to the data and guides to the eye.
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surate spin fluctuations were observed below $T_c$ energy. In addition, the incommensurability of $YBa_2Cu_3O_{6.8}$ increasing energy and becomes commensurate at the resonance incommensurability of these fluctuations decreases with in-

normal state ($\nu'$). The spin fluctuation spectrum in $YBa_2Cu_3O_{6.8}$ has a gap in the normal state ($\hbar\omega\approx 16$ meV), a superconducting gap ($\hbar\omega\approx 30$ meV), a resonance at $\hbar\omega\approx 39$ meV, and commensurate-to-incommensurate transition for spin fluctu-

after $T_c$ at $\hbar\omega = 32, 34, 37, 39$ meV above and below $T_c$. Incommen-
surate spin fluctuations were observed below $T_c$ at $\hbar\omega = 32, 34, 37$ meV. As in the case of $YBa_2Cu_3O_{6.6}$, the incommensurability of these fluctuations decreases with increasing energy and becomes commensurate at the resonance energy. In addition, the incommensurability of $YBa_2Cu_3O_{6.8}$ at $\hbar\omega\approx 34$ meV is essentially the same as in the more underdoped $YBa_2Cu_3O_{6.6}$ at 24 meV [Fig. 10(a)]. However, the normal-state spin fluctuations of $YBa_2Cu_3O_{6.8}$ are clearly commensurate and centered around $\langle \pi, \pi \rangle$, whereas the fluctu-
tions in $YBa_2Cu_3O_{6.6}$ show a flattish top indicative of the incommensurability at temperatures above $T_c$. Therefore, the spin fluctuation spectrum in $YBa_2Cu_3O_{6.8}$ has a gap in the normal state ($\hbar\omega\approx 16$ meV), a superconducting gap ($\hbar\omega\approx 30$ meV), a resonance at $\hbar\omega\approx 39$ meV, and commensurate-to-incommensurate transition for spin fluct-

tutions below the resonance on entering the superconducting state.

4. $YBa_2Cu_3O_{6.93}$ and $YBa_2Cu_3O_{6.95}$

In the studies of ideally doped and overdoped $YBa_2Cu_3O_{6.95}$, the important issues are the nature of the normal-state magnetic scattering and the evolution of the in-
commensurate spin fluctuations. To determine whether there is detectable magnetic scattering around $\hbar\omega\approx 40$ meV in the normal state of $YBa_2Cu_3O_{6.93}$ and $YBa_2Cu_3O_{6.95}$, we performed a series of constant-$q$ scans at $(\pi, \pi)$ at various tem-

teratures below and above $T_c$. Figures 20 and 21 display the low-temperature minus high-temperature difference spectra for $YBa_2Cu_3O_{6.93}$ and $YBa_2Cu_3O_{6.95}$, respectively. In both comp-
ounds, we find the resonance peak at $\hbar\omega\approx 40$ meV below $T_c$ and no trace or reminiscence of the resonance above $T_c$ as seen in all of the underdoped materials. Careful constant-energy scans for $YBa_2Cu_3O_{6.95}$ at $\hbar\omega = 28, 30, 32$ and 40 meV are shown in Figs. 22(a)–22(c). The tempera-
ture difference spectra in Figs. 22(d)–22(f) yield a spin gap value of $\hbar\omega = 32$ meV in the superconducting state and show no discernible normal-state magnetic scattering.\textsuperscript{16}

To further elucidate the nature of the incommensurate spin fluctuations, we performed a series of constant-energy scans at energies just below the 40-meV resonance for highly doped $YBa_2Cu_3O_{6.95}$. Figure 23 summarizes the outcome below and above $T_c$ at $\hbar\omega = 34, 35.5, 37, 40$ meV. Clear incommensurate spin fluctuations were found at $\hbar\omega = 34, 35.5, 37, 40$ meV in the low-temperature superconducting state. Surprisingly, the incommensurability of the fluctua-

FIG. 19. Difference spectra in high-resolution constant-energy scans along the [h,0.5,5.2] direction above and below $T_c$ for $YBa_2Cu_3O_{6.8}$. The energy transfers are $\hbar\omega = 32$ meV (a) and (e), 34 meV (b) and (f), 37 meV (c) and (g), and 39 meV (d) and (h). The scattering below the 39-meV resonance changes from commen-
surate in the normal state to incommensurate in the superconducting state. Similar behavior have been reported by Bourges et al. for $YBa_2Cu_3O_{6.85}$ [51]. The solid lines are Gaussian fits to the data.

FIG. 20. Difference spectra in constant-$q$ scans at (0.5,1.5, $\pi$) ru above and below $T_c$ for $YBa_2Cu_3O_{6.93}$ with the crystal in the (h,3h,1) zone. (a) The filled circles show the difference between 100 K ($T_c+7.5$ K) and 160 K. The open circles are the difference between 160 K and 200 K. Note that there is no positive scattering around 40 meV in the normal state, which suggests no precursor of the resonance. (b) The temperature difference between 11 K ($T_c$ $-81.5$ K) and 100 K. The solid line in (b) is a Gaussian fit to the data.

at $\hbar\omega = 30$ meV in the superconducting state is demonstrated by the difference spectra of Figs. 18(e) and 18(f), thus confirming the result of Fig. 17.

Finally, we present results on the incommensurate spin fluctuations at energies just below the resonance in $YBa_2Cu_3O_{6.8}$. These high-resolution measurements were carried out on the HB-1 triple-axis spectrometer with $E_f$ = 13.6 meV. Following the procedures established above, we show in Fig. 19 the temperature difference spectra at $\hbar\omega = 32, 34, 37, 39$ meV above and below $T_c$. Incommen-
surate spin fluctuations were observed below $T_c$ at $\hbar\omega = 32, 34, 37$ meV. As in the case of $YBa_2Cu_3O_{6.6}$, the incommensurability of these fluctuations decreases with increasing energy and becomes commensurate at the resonance energy. In addition, the incommensurability of $YBa_2Cu_3O_{6.8}$ at $\hbar\omega\approx 34$ meV is essentially the same as in the more underdoped $YBa_2Cu_3O_{6.6}$ at 24 meV [Fig. 10(a)]. However, the normal-state spin fluctuations of $YBa_2Cu_3O_{6.8}$ are clearly commensurate and centered around $\langle \pi, \pi \rangle$, whereas the fluctu-

ations in $YBa_2Cu_3O_{6.6}$ show a flattish top indicative of the incommensurability at temperatures above $T_c$. Therefore, the spin fluctuation spectrum in $YBa_2Cu_3O_{6.8}$ has a gap in the normal state ($\hbar\omega\approx 16$ meV), a superconducting gap ($\hbar\omega\approx 30$ meV), a resonance at $\hbar\omega\approx 39$ meV, and commensurate-to-incommensurate transition for spin fluctu-
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tions at $\delta = 0.11 \pm 0.0128$ rlu, which is indistinguishable from all the other underdoped compounds with $x > 0.6$. The incommensurability changes to $\delta = 0.089 \pm 0.008$ and $0.084 \pm 0.02$ rlu for $\hbar \omega = 35.5$ and $37$ meV, respectively. Although there are weak features in the constant-energy scans just above $T_c$, careful temperature-dependent studies of the constant-energy profiles indicate that these features are nonmagnetic in origin. Thus, incommensurate fluctuations in highly doped YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6.95}$ appear only in the low-temperature superconducting state at energies close to the resonance. Furthermore, the incommensurabilities of the low-energy spin fluctuations are insensitive to the doping for YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6.95}$ with $x > 0.6$ and saturate at $\delta = 1/10$. In contrast, incommensurate spin fluctuations in the single-layer La$_{2-x}$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$ near optimal doping survive to temperatures well above $T_c$, and the incommensurabilities of the low-energy spin fluctuations increase smoothly to the saturation value of $\delta = 1/8$ for materials with optimal doping.\(^5\)}
The incommensurability \( \delta \) as a function of effective hole concentration, \( p \), calculated from the parabolic relationship discussed in the text. \( \delta \) is seen to saturate for \( p \approx 0.1 \). The open and filled squares are from Refs. 25 and 51, respectively. The filled circles are from the present work. The solid lines are guides to the eye. Note that superconductivity in cuprates occurs for \( p \approx 0.05 \).

B. Discussion

1. Doping dependence of the incommensurability

The existence of incommensurate spin fluctuations now appears to be a common feature of the YBa\(_2\)Cu\(_3\)O\(_{6.4}\) family of superconductors.\(^{50}\) Such fluctuations have also been observed in Sr\(^{2+}\) and oxygen-doped samples of La\(_2\)CuO\(_4\).\(^{11}\) Thus, it becomes clear that incommensurability is the common feature between these two classes of the most studied superconductors. However, before attempting any quantitative comparison of the similarities between YBa\(_2\)Cu\(_3\)O\(_{6+x}\) and La\(_2\)SrCuO\(_4\), we must first consider the number of holes (\( p \)) in the CuO\(_2\) plane in these two systems. For La\(_{2-x}\)Sr\(_x\)CuO\(_4\), Yamada et al.\(^{8}\) found an excellent linear relationship between \( \delta \) and the effective hole concentration up to around \( p \approx 0.12 \). Moreover, the incommensurability \( \delta \) is energy independent for \( h\omega < 15 \) meV.\(^{9}\) The situation for YBa\(_2\)Cu\(_3\)O\(_{6+x}\) is more subtle. Using the established approximate parabolic relationship \( T_c/T_c^{\max} = 1 - 8.26(p - 0.16)^2 \), where \( T_c^{\max} \) is the maximum transition temperature of the system, and \( p \) is the hole doping,\(^{45}\) we can calculate the effective hole doping \( p \) of YBa\(_2\)Cu\(_3\)O\(_{6+x}\), from their \( T_c \) values. However, as shown in Figs. 5, 10, 19, and 23, the incommensurability in YBa\(_2\)Cu\(_3\)O\(_{6+x}\) decreases with increasing energy close to the resonance. As a consequence, it is difficult to compare directly the doping dependence of the incommensurability in these two families of materials as \( \delta \) is not a well-defined quantity for YBa\(_2\)Cu\(_3\)O\(_{6+x}\). In order to make such comparison, we chose to systematically use the \( \delta \) for the incommensurate low-frequency spin fluctuations further away in energy from the resonance.

In Fig. 24, we plot the incommensurability \( \delta \) obtained in such procedure as a function of \( p \). For completeness, we also included the data of Arai et al. (open square) for YBa\(_2\)Cu\(_3\)O\(_{6.7}\) (Ref. 25) and very recent data of Bourges et al. (filled square) for YBa\(_2\)Cu\(_3\)O\(_{6.85}\).\(^{51}\) As we can see from the figure, the incommensurability increases initially with doping, but it saturates quickly at \( \delta = 0.1 \) for hole doping \( p \approx 0.1 \). This result is different from La\(_{2-x}\)Sr\(_x\)CuO\(_4\) where the incommensurability is found to be linear with \( \delta \) until reaching 0.125 at large doping.\(^{8}\) For La\(_{2-x}\)SrCuO\(_4\), the saturation of incommensurability above \( x \approx 0.12 \) appears to be related to the saturation of the density of O(2\(p\))-type holes in the CuO\(_2\) plane as suggested from optical measurements.\(^{52}\) In the case of YBa\(_2\)Cu\(_3\)O\(_{6+x}\), the incommensurability saturates for \( x > 0.6 \), whereas the hole concentration \( p \) in the CuO\(_2\) plane continues to increase with increasing oxygen doping and \( T_c \).\(^{45}\) Therefore, the saturation of the incommensurability in YBa\(_2\)Cu\(_3\)O\(_{6+x}\) may have a different microscopic origin from that of La\(_{2-x}\)SrCuO\(_4\).

Although it is interesting to compare the incommensurability as a function of effective hole concentration in the CuO\(_2\) plane of YBa\(_2\)Cu\(_3\)O\(_{6+x}\) and La\(_{2-x}\)SrCuO\(_4\), a more robust test of the similarities in these two families of materials can be made by comparing the relation between \( T_c \) and \( \delta \) because these two quantities are free from any uncertainties associated with the doping level or oxygen stoichiometry. For La\(_{2-x}\)SrCuO\(_4\), Yamada et al. found a linear relationship between \( T_c \) and \( \delta \) up to the optimal doping regime.\(^{8}\) Using \( T_c = h\nu^*\Delta q \), Balatsky and Bourges\(^{43}\) extracted a velocity \( h\nu^* = 20 \) meV Å. For YBa\(_2\)Cu\(_3\)O\(_{6+x}\), these authors suggested a similar linear relation between \( T_c \) and the \( q \) width in momentum space, \( \Delta q \) (HWHM), for the whole doping range: \( T_c = h\nu^*\Delta q \), with \( h\nu^* = 35 \) meV Å. They then claimed strong similarities in the magnetic states of YBa\(_2\)Cu\(_3\)O\(_{6+x}\) and La\(_{2-x}\)SrCuO\(_4\).\(^{43}\) In Fig. 25, we plot the relation between \( T_c \) and the measured incommensurability \( \delta \) for YBa\(_2\)Cu\(_3\)O\(_{6+x}\) in the whole doping range. For superconducting transition temperatures below \( \approx 60 \) K, our data are consistent with the proposed linear relation between \( T_c \) and \( \delta \) with \( h\nu^* = 36.6 \) meV Å.\(^{43}\) However, the incommensurability is essentially unchanged for all samples with \( T_c \) larger than 60 K. Therefore, if there were excitations associated with such a velocity, its magnitude would approach infinity for samples with transition temperatures above 60 K.
2. Doping dependence of the spin gap in the superconducting state

One of the salient features of the spin excitations spectra in $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ is the presence of spin gaps in the normal and superconducting states. For example, the low-energy spin fluctuations of $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.8}$ show well-defined Gaussian peaks centered around $(\pi, \pi)$ at energies above 24 meV in the normal state. On cooling the system to below $T_c$, the normal-state scattering is suppressed which indicates the opening of a gap in the spin fluctuation spectrum (Figs. 17 and 18). In Fig. 26, we plot the magnitude of the spin gap in the low-temperature superconducting state as a function of $T_c$ for the whole doping range. The solid line shows the linear relation between $T_c$ and the magnitude of the spin gap ($E_{\Gamma}$) up to the optimal doping with $E_{\Gamma} / k_B T_c = 3.8$. This empirical result connects directly the spin gap and the superconducting gap. For samples with low-oxygen doping and low $T_c$, the linear relation between $T_c$ and $E_{\Gamma}$ breaks down, possibly due to the oxygen doping inhomogeneity and broad superconducting transitions in weakly doped materials.

3. Doping dependence of the resonance and superconducting condensation energy

Among the many interesting features observed in the spin excitations spectra of $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$, perhaps the most discussed is the collective acoustic spin excitation named the “resonance.” First reported by Rossat-Mignod et al.$^{12}$ for $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.92}$, the resonance at $\sim 40$ meV in the highly doped $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.0+}$ was shown to be magnetic in origin, narrow in energy, and to appear exclusively below $T_c$. In subsequent experiments,$^{19-21}$ the resonance was also found in underdoped $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ with the resonance energy ($E_r$) approximately scaling with $T_c$: $E_r \approx k_B T_c$. Consistent with previous results,$^{43}$ the coherence length of the resonance ($\xi$) is weakly doping dependent except for $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.6}$ which has considerably larger $\xi$ than for all the other dopings (Fig. 27). The coherence length of the incommensurate spin fluctuations (Fig. 28) also appears to be weakly doping dependent. In addition, we note that on approaching the optimal oxygen doping for $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$, the resonance energy ($E_r$) is insensitive to the changing $T_c$, and breaks down for materials with oxygen concentrations close to its optimal doping. Finally, we compared the peak intensity of the lowest-energy observable incommensurate fluctuations with that of the resonance (Fig. 30). While the ratio clearly decreases with increasing doping for $p \approx 0.1$, it saturates to a value of $\sim 0.25$ for $p \geq 0.1$.

One interesting aspect of the resonance peak is its unusual temperature dependence. For optimal ($x = 0.93$) and overdoped ($x = 1$) samples, the onset of the resonance occurs at a temperature $T^*$, which almost coincides with $T_c$. For underdoped $x = 0.8$ and 0.6, $T^*$ increases to temperatures well above $T_c$ while both $T_c$ and the resonance energy itself are reduced.$^{27}$ By empirically comparing the crossover temperature $T^*$ and the pseudogap temperature obtained by other techniques,$^{53-55}$ we associate the initial occurrence of the resonance with the pseudogap temperature.$^{27}$ In recent published$^{56}$ and unpublished$^{57}$ papers, Bourges et al.$^{57}$ claimed that there is no justification for a separation of the normal state spin excitations spectrum into resonant and nonresonant parts. Although these authors agreed that the broad maximum of the spin susceptibility in the normal state occurs at the same energy as the resonance peak in some un-
deredoped materials, they argued that "the apparent equivalence of the normal-state energy and the resonance peak energy breaks down in underdoped samples closer to optimal doping." Here we point out that the claims by Bourges et al. are incorrect. As can be seen from our results in Sec. III A 1–3, there are clear enhancements in the spin susceptibility around the resonance energy at temperatures above \(T_c\) for all the underdoped compounds including YBa\(_2\)Cu\(_3\)O\(_{6.8}\). These observations are in sharp contrast to the claims of Bourges et al. Moreover, from the temperature dependence of the resonance intensity as a function of doping, we find that the intensity of the resonance changes smoothly and shows progressively larger pretransitional regime above \(T_c\) as a function of decreasing doping. As a consequence, it is natural to associate the enhancement of the spin susceptibility above \(T_c\) around the resonance energy in underdoped materials as the precursor of the resonance.

We also emphasize that the temperature dependence of the resonance is closely related to the thermodynamics of YBa\(_2\)Cu\(_3\)O\(_{6.8}\) near \(T_c\) and hence the superconducting condensation energy. If the electronic entropy changes around \(T_c\) are mostly due to spin excitations, they must respond to an external magnetic field in qualitatively the same way. In a conventional type-II BCS superconductor, the effect of a field is to simply shift the superconducting specific heat anomaly to lower temperatures and to reduce its amplitude until the upper critical field, \(B_{c2}(0)\), is reached. For high-\(T_c\) superconductors such as YBa\(_2\)Cu\(_3\)O\(_{6.8}\), the electronic specific heat anomaly near \(T_c\) is drastically suppressed by a moderate field along the \(c\) axis, while it is little affected by the same field parallel to the \(ab\) plane.

In a recent experiment on YBa\(_2\)Cu\(_3\)O\(_{6.8}\), we discovered that a moderate magnetic field \((B = 6.8 \text{ T})\) along the direction approximately perpendicular to the CuO\(_2\) planes \((c\) axis\) can drastically suppress the intensity of the resonance at 34 meV while leaving other scattering relatively unaltered. A similar, but much smaller effect also occurs for the same field parallel to the CuO\(_2\) planes. Our results thus provide further support for the intimate connection between the magnetic excitation spectrum and thermodynamics of high-\(T_c\) superconductors. The persistence of a field effect above \(T_c\) is consistent with the precursor of the resonance in the normal state of the underdoped YBa\(_2\)Cu\(_3\)O\(_{6.8}\).

4. Stripes versus Fermi liquid

Fermi-liquid theory, based on the premise that electron correlation effects in the metallic state of matter correspond
to the noninteracting “quasiparticles” on a Fermi surface, has been successful in solving many of the important problems in condensed-matter physics, including conventional superconductivity. In the case of copper oxides, where high-$T_c$ superconductivity is obtained by hole doping of the AF insulating parent compounds, an important class of model\textsuperscript{69–72} argues that electrons in these materials cannot be described by quasiparticles and Fermi-liquid theory. Rather, the electrons have been incorporated in complex, inhomogeneous (fluctuating) patterns of the charge and spin confined to separate linear regions in the crystal resembling “stripes.”\textsuperscript{73} Since their discovery,\textsuperscript{71–72} the incommensurate spin fluctuations in the superconducting La$_{2-x}$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$ have been interpreted as due to the presence of dynamic spin stripes\textsuperscript{74–77} or as the consequence of spin-flip scattering across a nested Fermi surface.\textsuperscript{78–84} In 1995, Tranquada \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{46} found evidence supporting the former interpretation in a closely related material La$_{1.88-x}$Nd$_{0.12}$Sr$_{0.15}$CuO$_4$. For Nd substitution of $y=0.4$, the distortion of the crystal structure causes the condensation of the incommensurate spin fluctuations in Nd-free La$_{2-x}$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$ into a spin density wave (SDW) at the same wave vector.\textsuperscript{30} The analysis of the elastic magnetic SDW peaks and their corresponding charge-ordering peaks associated with the modulation of the underlying lattice indicate the presence of a static striped phase in La$_{1.88-x}$Nd$_{0.12}$Sr$_{0.15}$CuO$_4$. This strongly suggests that the incommensurate fluctuations in superconducting La$_{2-x}$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$ are a fluctuating version (dynamic) of the stripes.

However, if the stripe picture is universal for all high-$T_c$ superconductors, it should also be able to explain the incommensurate spin fluctuations of YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6+x}$. In the case of La$_{2-x}$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$, the incommensurability saturates at “1/8.” Whereas the incommensurability of the low-energy spin fluctuations in YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6+x}$ follows the expected linear behavior as a function of $T_c$ for low-doping materials\textsuperscript{8} but abruptly saturates at “1/10” for $x \geq 0.6$. This could mean that the minimum stripe spacing in YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6+x}$ is five unit cells compared to four in La$_{2-x}$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$.\textsuperscript{46} However, the reduction of the incommensurability with increasing energy close to the resonance in YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6+x}$, first reported by Arai \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{25} and subsequently confirmed by Bourges \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{31} in underdoped compounds, is different from the energy-independent incommensurability of the low-frequency spin fluctuations in La$_{2-x}$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$.\textsuperscript{46} In addition, the incommensurate fluctuations in La$_{2-x}$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$ are observed at temperatures well above $T_c$.\textsuperscript{49} Such fluctuations in YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6+x}$ are present above $T_c$ for underdoped compounds, but for highly doped materials they are only found close to the resonance in the superconducting state.

At present, it remains unclear how to explain the observed behavior differences in these two families of materials from a stripe model. In particular, the saturation of the incommensurability at $\delta = 1/10$ for effective hole concentration $p \approx 0.1$ (Fig. 24) is puzzling. Assuming that the incommensurate spin fluctuations in YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6+x}$ at all doping levels are due to dynamic stripes composed of the hole-rich metallic regions separated by the antiphase insulating domains,\textsuperscript{46} one would expect the growth of the metallic stripes with increasing doping at the expense of the insulating domains.\textsuperscript{69–72} As a consequence, the incommensurability should increase with increasing hole doping, which is in contrast to the observation of a saturating $\delta$ for YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6+x}$ with $x \approx 0.6$.

Although we have emphasized the differences, the incommensurate spin fluctuations for these two classes of materials also have remarkable similarities in their structure and doping dependence for oxygen concentrations $x \approx 0.6$ ($p \approx 0.1$). These similarities and the one-dimensional nature of the incommensurate spin fluctuations in underdoped YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6.6}$ (Ref. 47) and the associated phonon anomaly\textsuperscript{85} are consistent with the stripe formation in YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6+x}$ for effective hole doping below 0.1. To truly understand the microscopic origin of the incommensurate spin fluctuations in different cuprates using a stripe model, perhaps one needs to first explain the presence of resonance in the bilayer compounds, which takes much of the weight of the total magnetic scattering, and its absence in the single-layer materials.\textsuperscript{47} For highly doped materials, the incommensurate spin fluctuations in YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6+x}$ appear to be intimately related to the resonance as they occur only at energies very close to the resonance. From the magnetic-field dependence of the spin excitations,\textsuperscript{68} it is clear that the resonance measures the pairing and long-range phase coherence. Thus, if a stripe model can explain the resonance, it may also be able to account for the differences in the incommensurability in La$_{2-x}$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$ and YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6+x}$.

Alternatively, assuming that the observed incommensurate fluctuations in YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6+x}$ come from a nested Fermi surface,\textsuperscript{81–84} one may ask whether such models can predict the observed intensity and doping-dependent behavior. Unfortunately, there are no explicit predictions about the doping dependence of the incommensurability and its relationship with the resonance from a nested Fermi surface model that can be directly compared with our experiments. Therefore, it is still not clear whether the observed incommensurate fluctuations in YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6+x}$ are consistent with the Fermi-liquid description at all doping levels. In particular, the abrupt saturation of the incommensurability for YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6+x}$ with $x \approx 0.6$ suggests that the physics accounting for this behavior may have a different microscopic origin from that of the lower doping compounds.

\textbf{5. Models for the resonance}

Since the discovery of the resonance in highly doped and underdoped YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6+x}$,\textsuperscript{12,14,16–21} many fundamentally different microscopic mechanisms have been proposed to explain its origin. In the earlier theoretical studies,\textsuperscript{86–89} the resonance is interpreted as the consequence of the $d$-wave gap symmetry of the cuprate superconductors. Subsequent workers have noticed that $d$-wave superconductivity alone is insufficient to explain the resonance, and that very strong Coulomb correlations among electrons must also play an important role. In some models, the band-structure anomaly effects alone can produce a peak in spin susceptibility that grows with the opening of the superconducting gap,\textsuperscript{90–92} while other workers have applied a random-phase approximation (RPA) to treat the effects of strong Coulomb corre-
lations with the Lindhard function appropriate for either a Fermi-liquid or a spin-charge separated metal. In particular, the resonance has been treated as a disordered magnonlike collective mode in the particle-hole channel whose energy is bound by the superconducting energy gap. Using a completely different approach, the resonance is viewed as a pseudo-Goldstone boson mode in the particle-particle channel instead of the more conventional particle-hole channel. In the framework of this theory, antiferromagnetism and $d$-wave superconductivity in copper oxides are treated in equal footing, and resonance is directly responsible for the superconducting condensation energy.

While the purpose of this article is not to review the similarities and differences among various models of the resonance, we wish to make several general remarks in light of the results described here and in recent field-dependent studies of the resonance. First, it is now clear that the incommensurability and the resonance are inseparable parts of the general features of the spin dynamics in $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ at all dopings. Thus any microscopic model used to describe the resonance must also be able to explain the doping and energy dependence of the incommensurate spin fluctuations. While current theoretical models do not provide explicit predictions that can be directly compared our experiments, it is hoped that the work described here will stimulate future theoretical efforts in this direction. The remarkable field dependence of the resonance indicates that it measures the superconducting pairing and phase coherence. In particular, the larger $c$-axis field effect is difficult to understand within the framework of the interlayer tunneling theory, according to which the resonance should be strongly affected by the in-plane field that disrupts the coherent Josephson coupling along the $c$ axis. On the other hand, the field data are consistent with mechanism where the dominant loss of entropy on entering the superconducting state is due to the growth of magnetic correlations in the $\text{CuO}_2$ planes. Finally, if the resonance is a magnonlike collective mode, it must not be a spin wave in the conventional sense as the intensity of spin-wave excitations in ferromagnets and antiferromagnets should be magnetic-field independent for the relatively small fields used.

IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In this article, we have described in detail the extensive polarized and unpolarized neutron measurements of the dynamical magnetic susceptibility $\chi''(q,\omega)$ of superconducting $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ above and below the transition temperature $T_c$. Using a careful polarization and temperature-dependence analysis, we find that the resonance and incommensurate spin fluctuations are general features of the spin dynamical behavior in $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ for all oxygen doping levels. We establish the doping dependence of the incommensurability, the resonance, and the superconducting spin-gap energy. In the superconducting state, we find that the spin-gap energy is proportional to $k_BT_c$, but the resonance energy itself deviates from the linear behavior with $k_BT_c$ for oxygen doping close to the optimal values. Similarly, we show that the doping dependence of the incommensurability saturates to $\delta=0.1$ for oxygen doping $x=0.6$. We compare the observed behaviors in the two most studied superconducting copper oxides $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{SrCuO}_4$ and $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ and discuss their similarities and differences. We stress that any comprehensive theoretical understanding of the spin dynamical behavior in these two families of materials must take into account the fact that the resonance so clearly seen in the bilayer $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ is absent in the single-layer $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{SrCuO}_4$. For underdoped $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$, the evolution of the resonance as a function of doping is illustrated. In particular, we find the enhancement of the susceptibility at the resonance energy above $T_c$ for all underdoped compounds including $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_8$. If we define “resonance” as the enhancement of the susceptibility in a small energy range of the magnetic excitations spectra as a function of decreasing temperature, it is natural to associate the susceptibility gain around the resonance energy above $T_c$ in the underdoped compounds as the precursor of the resonance. This assertion is supported by the systematic studies of the evolution of the temperature dependence of the resonance that show the progressively larger pretransitional regime above $T_c$ for more underdoped $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$. Furthermore, the resonance and incommensurate fluctuations appear to be intimately connected. Thus, any microscopic model for the magnetic fluctuations in $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ must be able to account for both the incommensurate spin fluctuations and the resonance.
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