Strong-coupling superconductivity in NaFe_{1-x}Co_xAs: Validity of Eliashberg theory
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We study the normal-state and superconducting properties of the NaFe_{1-x}Co_xAs system by specific heat measurements. Both the normal-state Sommerfeld coefficient and superconducting condensation energy are strongly suppressed in the underdoped and heavily overdoped samples. The low-temperature electronic specific heat can be well fitted by either a one-gap or a two-gap BCS-type function for all the superconducting samples. The ratio $\gamma_N T_c^2/H_e^2(0)$ can nicely associate the neutron spin resonance as the bosons in the standard Eliashberg model. However, the value of $\Delta C/T_c \gamma_N$ near optimal doping is larger than the maximum value the model can obtain. Our results suggest that the high-$T_c$ superconductivity in the Fe-based superconductors may be understood within the framework of boson-exchange mechanism but significant modification may be needed to account for the finite-temperature properties.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Strong-coupling superconductivity in the conventional superconductors can be well described within the framework of the Eliashberg theory,1 where electron Cooper pairs are mediated by virtual phonons or some other bosons. Since spin fluctuations may act as the mediating bosons for electron pairing and superconductivity,2,3 it is important to determine if the Eliashberg-based theory can understand the transport and magnetic properties of unconventional superconductors.4,5 For copper oxides, this is difficult due to the plethora of phases competing with superconductivity and the $d$-wave nature of the superconducting gap symmetry. The Fe-based superconductors may offer a better opportunity to test the suitability of the Eliashberg theory due to the $s$-wave nature of the superconducting electron pairing and the Fermi-liquid-like normal states.6

In the standard Eliashberg theory, the superconducting electron Cooper pairs are mediated by bosons with an average energy of $\omega_{ln}$. For a $\delta$-function electron-boson spectral density $\alpha^2 F(\omega) = A \delta(\omega - \omega_E)$, we have $\omega_{ln} = \omega_E$. The ratio of $T_c/\omega_{ln}$ representing the coupling strength is related to two important dimensionless parameters $\gamma_N T_c^2/H_e^2(0)$ and $\Delta C/T_c \gamma_N$, where $\gamma_N$, $H_e(0)$ and $\Delta C/T_c$ are the normal-state Sommerfeld coefficient, the thermodynamic critical field at zero temperature, and the specific heat jump across $T_c$, respectively.1 For conventional superconductors, these two ratios can be solved analytically through

$$\frac{\gamma_N T_c^2}{H_e^2(0)} = 0.168 \left[ 1 - 12.2 \left( \frac{T_c}{\omega_{ln}} \right)^2 \ln \left( \frac{\omega_{ln}}{3T_c} \right) \right],$$

(1)

$$\frac{\Delta C}{T_c \gamma_N} = 1.43 \left[ 1 + 53 \left( \frac{T_c}{\omega_{ln}} \right)^2 \ln \left( \frac{\omega_{ln}}{3T_c} \right) \right].$$

(2)

We see that these two ratios have a linear relationship and should be simultaneously satisfied for a given superconductor.

Recently, the bosonic spectrum was found in the tunneling measurements on the Fe-based superconductors.7–9 It is thus important to determine to what extent the standard Eliashberg theory holds by checking the validity of Eqs. (1) and (2). The thermodynamic properties of the Fe-based superconductors have been measured in many systems.10–21 In Ba$_{0.8}$K$_{0.4}$Fe$_2$As$_2$, a calculation based on the Eliashberg model considering multiple bands is able to quantitatively reproduce the experimental results based on the assumption that spin fluctuations are electron pairing mediating bosons.13 Recently, a very sharp neutron spin resonance is found in superconducting NaFe$_{0.955}$Co$_{0.045}$As$_2$.22 The mode, centering at the in-plane antiferromagnetic wave vector, is strictly two dimensional in the reciprocal space, which leads to an easy way of considering $\alpha^2 F(\omega)$ and hence $\omega_{ln}$. Therefore, the NaFe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$As system may be suitable to check the Eliashberg theory.

In this paper, we report a comprehensive study on the electron-doping evolution of the specific heat in NaFe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$As. The measured value of $\gamma_N T_c^2/H_e^2(0)$ is consistent with that obtained from Eq. (1) by assuming that $\omega_{ln}$ is equal to the neutron spin resonance energy.22 However, the value of $\Delta C/T_c \gamma_N$ reaches up to 3.7 near optimal doping, which is much larger than the maximum value of Eq. (2). Our results suggest that the high-$T_c$ superconductivity in the Fe-based superconductors may be understood within the conventional boson-exchange mechanism but the finite-temperature properties should be revised around the optimal doping.

II. EXPERIMENT

Single crystals of NaFe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$As were grown by the self-flux method as reported previously.23 The volume fractions of bulk superconductivity for the samples with doping range from 0.015 to 0.06 are larger than 80%. The samples were attached onto the heat capacity pucks in the glovebox and transported within a sealed bottle to avoid the sample quality change.23 The time that the samples were exposed to air during the installation of the puck was less than one minute. The specific heat was measured by the Physical Property Measurement System (PPMS) from Quantum Design.
The phase diagram of NaFe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$As is very similar to other iron pnictides with a long-range AF order in the parent compound and a domelike superconducting regime, as shown in Fig. 1(a). The structural transition temperature $T_s$ and magnetic transition temperature $T_N$ determined from the resistivity measurement are similar to those reported in the literature. The $T_c$ is obtained from the specific heat and measurement and it is set to zero for those that exhibit no superconducting jump despite the fact that the resistivity goes back to more than 3 mJ/mol$\cdot$K for those that exhibit no superconducting jump. Above $T_c$, the horizontal red lines are fitted $\gamma_N$. The subtracted electronic specific heats of the superconducting samples are shown in Fig. 2. All the data except for the $x = 0.015$ can be well fitted by the two-gap Bardeen-Cooper-Shrieffer (BCS) expression of the specific heat with $[C = C_{BCS}(\Delta_1) + C_{BCS}(\Delta_2)]$ as shown by the solid lines, where $C_{BCS}$ is taken the same form as that in Ref. 14. Only one gap is needed to fit the $x = 0.015$ data, which suggests that the band related to the small superconducting gap may be associated with the opening of the spin-density-wave (SDW) gap. Of course, we cannot rule out the existence of nodes or highly anisotropic gaps due to the limitation of our model. A more detailed study of the low-temperature specific heat below 2 K is needed to further investigate the gap symmetry. Figure 3(a) shows the doping dependence of $\gamma_N$ and $\gamma_0$. Contrary to that in Ba(Fe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$)$_2$As$_2$, $\gamma_0$ is much smaller than $\gamma_N$ for all the superconducting samples, suggesting that most of the electrons in NaFe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$As are condensed at 0 K. With increasing Co doping, $\gamma_N$ quickly increases and reaches its peak at the optimal doping $x = 0.025$. Such suppression in the underdoped regime is most likely due to the opening of the SDW gap. Further increasing Co above 0.05 rapidly reduce $\gamma_N$ to a very low value for heavily overdoped samples ($\gamma_N = 2.3$ ml/mol$\cdot$K$^2$ for the $x = 0.08$ sample). Surprisingly, the $\gamma_N$ goes back to more than 3 ml/mol$\cdot$K$^2$ for the $x \geq 0.1$ samples.
The doping dependence of $\gamma_N$ may be strongly associated with the SDW gap and pseudogap as observed by the scanning tunneling microscope (STM). Since Co doping only shifts the Fermi level without significantly changing the band structures, we can quantitatively estimate the effect of the two gaps. Assuming that $N(0)(1+\lambda) = 0.42\gamma_N/n$ where $n = 3$ and $N(0) \approx 0.53$ states/eV/atom, we find that the coupling parameter $\lambda$ is about 0.9 for the $x = 0.025$ sample. Such value is reasonable considering that no pseudogap is found near the optimal doping. If $\lambda$ does not change below 0.1 Co doping, we estimate that the density of states (DOS) is suppressed about 60% for both the $x = 0$ and $x = 0.06$ samples, which is consistent with the STM results. We note that the suppression of $\gamma_N$ in overdoped NaFe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$As is much larger than that in Ba(Fe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$)$_2$As$_2$ which suggests that the latter may have a different origin. Increasing Co doping above 0.1 results in the depinning of the large V-shaped feature and thus the disappearance of the pseudogap. Our measurements on the 0.1 and 0.2 samples show that $\lambda$ is close to zero assuming that there is no suppression of DOS at Fermi level, which accords with the fact that the system is close to a normal metal with weakly coupled electrons in this doping regime.

Figure 3(b) plots the doping dependence of the condensation energy $E_c$, which is obtained through $E_c = \int_{-T_c}^{T_c} C/T dT$. The value of $\gamma_N T_c^2 / \Delta_1^2$ can be derived by taking $H_c(0)^2 = 8\pi E_c$. It is clear that $E_c$ is much smaller at either the $x = 0.015$ or $x = 0.06$ sample, consistent with the fact that the DOS at the Fermi level is strongly suppressed due to either the SDW gap or the pseudogap.

The doping dependencies of the two superconducting gaps and the relevant ratio of the small gap are shown in Figs. 3(c) and 3(d), respectively. The values of the larger gap above $x = 0.025$ are more or less consistent with the results of angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) and STM experiments where only one gap is observed. The existence of the smaller gap and its increasing contribution to the electronic specific heat are missing in those experiments. Since the tunneling matrix element of the $M$-centered bands may be strongly suppressed for a good surface in the STM experiment, the small gap may exist around the $M$ point with a nonzero $k_z$ value. For the $x = 0.015$ sample where the AF order is present, it is not clear why a much smaller gap is obtained from the specific heat data.

The two dimensionless ratios in Eqs. (1) and (2) can be derived from the above experimental data. To eliminate the effect of the residual electronic specific heat, we replace $\gamma_N$ in the ratios to $\gamma_N - \gamma_0$. Due to the quadratic term in Eq. (1), one value of $\gamma_N T_c^2 / \Delta_1^2$ corresponds to two values of $T_c$ or $\omega_{ln}$. For the conventional superconductors, the ratios of many materials are within the shaded area surrounding the red line in Fig. 4(a) calculated by Eq. (1). In the case of NaFe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$As, a value of $T_c$ or $\omega_{ln}$ much smaller than 0.2 will result in a bosonic energy that has not been observed in other experiments. Therefore, we choose the $T_c$ or $\omega_{ln}$ with values larger than 0.2 from $(\gamma_N - \gamma_0) T_c^2 / \Delta_1^2$ as shown by the open circles in Fig. 4(a). Figure 4(b) shows the $T_c$ dependence of $\omega_{ln}$, which gives $\omega_{ln} = 3.38 k_B T_c$. Interestingly, the resonance energy in the NaFe$_{0.955}$Co$_{0.045}$As is very close to the value of $\omega_{ln}$, suggesting the resonance mode may play as bosons in the superconductivity of NaFe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$As. It will be interesting to compare the resonance energy in heavily underdoped and overdoped samples with the $\omega_{ln}$ obtained here. It should be noted that the ratios of $\omega_{ln}$ are about 0.4 around optimal doping levels as shown in Fig. 4(b), much smaller compared to those that have been found in many other superconductors. The large values of $T_c$ or $\omega_{ln}$ suggest the strong-coupling nature of NaFe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$As noting that the ratio only extends up to about 0.25 in the conventional superconductors. We note that the above $\omega_{ln}$ can also give a value of $2\Delta(0)/k_B T_c$ larger than 5 as suggested by the equation (4.1) in Ref. 1.
The doping dependence of the normalized specific heat jump $\Delta C/T_c(\gamma_N - \gamma_0)$ is shown in Fig. 4(c). In the case where the two-gap BCS function cannot give a good fit near $T_c$, a single-gap BCS function is used to fit the data near $T_c$ to obtain an accurate specific heat jump. A domelike feature is seen as that in Ba$_{1-x}$Co$_x$As$_2$.\(^{26}\) Surprisingly, the largest value of $\Delta C/T_c(\gamma_N - \gamma_0)$ is 3.7 for $x = 0.025$, which is much larger than those found in other systems.\(^{13,20}\) While the values of $(\gamma_N - \gamma_0)T_c^2/H^2_c(0)$ seem to be reasonable, Eq. (2) fails to calculate $\Delta C/T_c(\gamma_N - \gamma_0)$ at the optimal doping. This is clearer by plotting these two ratios together as shown in Fig. 4(d). The data falling on the solid line suggest that they can be calculated from each other according to Eqs. (1) and (2). It is clear that strong deviation occurs near the optimal doping. Since $(\gamma_N - \gamma_0)T_c^2/H^2_c(0)$ is close to each other except for that of $x = 0.06$, such deviation is not due to the deficiency in calculating the two ratios by the perturbation method.\(^{3}\) For the $x = 0.06$ sample, it is possible that a more accurate method may give a better result or the pseudogaplike phase may have something to do with the mismatch.

IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

A large specific heat jump in the Eliashberg model is a result that the superconducting gap opens up more rapidly just below $T_c$ than it does in the BCS theory,\(^{1}\) which will only give a maximum value of about 3 even when we freely choose the value of $T_c/\omega_{ln}$ as seen in Eq. (2). In the case of NaFe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$As, one may have to consider a very weak temperature dependence of the gap.\(^{35}\) On the other hand, the ratio $(\gamma_N - \gamma_0)T_c^2/H^2_c(0)$ is associated with the condensation of the Cooper pairs at zero K, which may not contradict with what happens near $T_c$. After all, a very important assumption in the strong-coupling theory is that the boson spectrum is fixed while the spin fluctuations in the Fe-based superconductors strongly evolve with changing temperature.

It is also found in the heavy-fermion materials CeCoIn$_5$\(^{39}\) and CeIrSi$_3$\(^{40}\) that $\Delta C/T_c\gamma_N$ is larger than 4. This is consistent with theories associated with the strong localized spin fluctuations,\(^{41-43}\) indicating that spin fluctuations may result in the largest enhancement of the specific heat jump near optimal doping.\(^{44}\) Another explanation may lie in the fact that the strong-coupling $s^\pm$-wave superconductivity is probably fundamentally different from the conventional $s$-wave superconductivity considering the fact that the best match of the hole and electron Fermi surfaces happens near the optimal doping.\(^{23}\) After all, the so-called strong-coupling theory in the conventional superconductors is still based on a Fermi liquid framework suitable for weakly correlated electron systems.

In conclusion, we tested the validity of the Eliashberg formalism in the NaFe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$As system by deriving $(\gamma_N - \gamma_0)T_c^2/H^2_c(0)$ and $\Delta C/T_c(\gamma_N - \gamma_0)$ from the specific heat measurements. Our results show that while the former value is nicely associated with the neutron spin resonance through Eq. (1), the latter value is beyond the Eliashberg theory near optimal doping. Therefore, the pairing mechanism in NaFe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$As may be understood within the boson-exchange mechanism but the disappearance of the superconductivity near the optimal doping should be considered with significant modification of the theory.
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